```
WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by event.video
00:00:00.200 --> 00:00:03.400
Good afternoon, everyone. It's shade past two o'clock
00:00:03.400 --> 00:00:06.400
and this compulsory acquisition here
00:00:06.400 --> 00:00:10.400
in otherwise known as cah1
00:00:09.400 --> 00:00:12.800
is now open welcome everybody.
00:00:13.700 --> 00:00:16.600
Can everybody hear me? Clearly you
5
00:00:16.600 --> 00:00:17.900
put your hand up if you can't.
00:00:19.200 --> 00:00:19.700
Thank you.
00:00:20.600 --> 00:00:24.500
All right, good. I understand
00:00:23.500 --> 00:00:26.200
that. The live stream is now
00:00:26.200 --> 00:00:29.000
working. That's that's online is it?
00:00:30.200 --> 00:00:33.500
Yeah, okay. Thank you. My name is Graham Keen.
11
00:00:33.500 --> 00:00:36.500
I'm a planning inspector and solicitor and the lead member of the
panel,
12
00:00:36.500 --> 00:00:39.500
which is the examining Authority for the Sonica
```

```
1.3
00:00:39.500 --> 00:00:43.700
energy farm application for development
14
00:00:43.700 --> 00:00:47.500
consent under the planning act 2008.
00:00:48.200 --> 00:00:51.200
I will now ask the other members of
16
00:00:51.200 --> 00:00:54.700
the panel to introduce themselves and I'll start by introducing
17
00:00:54.700 --> 00:00:57.100
by handing over to
18
00:00:57.100 --> 00:01:00.400
Mr. Guy Rigby who will lead the majority of this hearing.
19
00:01:01.300 --> 00:01:04.100
Good afternoon, everyone. Can you hear me? Okay.
20
00:01:06.400 --> 00:01:09.600
My name is Guy Rigby. I'm a chartered civil engineer and
21
00:01:09.600 --> 00:01:12.200
 a member of a pool of qualified persons. Can you
22
00:01:12.200 --> 00:01:14.900
scroll it back? Please member of pool of qualified persons.
23
00:01:16.700 --> 00:01:18.500
eligible to serve as an examining inspector
24
00:01:19.200 --> 00:01:22.400
on the pointed to the panel on a fixed term contract and
00:01:22.400 --> 00:01:25.400
applied by planning inspectors on a fixed term contract.
26
00:01:26.100 --> 00:01:26.400
```

```
Thank you.
27
00:01:29.400 --> 00:01:32.500
Thank you. I'm Karen Taylor. I'm a chartered Town
28
00:01:32.500 --> 00:01:36.000
planner and chartered member of the landscape Institute like
29
00:01:35.300 --> 00:01:38.100
Mr. Rigby. I'm a member of a pool of
30
00:01:38.100 --> 00:01:41.500
qualified persons eligible to serve as an examining
31
00:01:41.500 --> 00:01:44.200
inspector, and I'm also appointed to
32
00:01:44.200 --> 00:01:47.500
this panel and employed by the planning inspectorate on
33
00:01:47.500 --> 00:01:48.900
a fixed term contract.
34
00:01:50.200 --> 00:01:53.500
Thank you very much. So this afternoon's hearing is
00:01:53.500 --> 00:01:56.500
the first of what a scheduled
36
00:01:56.500 --> 00:01:59.300
as two compulsory acquisition hearings in
37
00:01:59.300 --> 00:02:02.200
this Examination for as I
00:02:02.200 --> 00:02:05.500
say, the Seneca energy farm project. This is
00:02:05.500 --> 00:02:09.100
described in the application as the construction operation
maintenance
```

```
00:02:08.100 --> 00:02:11.300
and decommissioning of a Generating
41
00:02:11.300 --> 00:02:14.200
Station with the gross electrical output capacity of
42
00:02:14.200 --> 00:02:18.200
50 megawatts comprising ground mounted solar
43
00:02:17.200 --> 00:02:20.800
photovoltaic PV panel
44
00:02:20.800 --> 00:02:24.100
 arrays a battery energy storage system with
45
00:02:23.100 --> 00:02:26.200
the growth storage capacity of over 50
46
00:02:26.200 --> 00:02:29.900
megawatts and connection to the UK electricity
00:02:29.900 --> 00:02:32.800
transmission system and other Associated
48
00:02:32.800 --> 00:02:34.300
online development.
49
00:02:36.100 --> 00:02:40.300
Our rule six letter, which was published on the examination
50
00:02:39.300 --> 00:02:42.500
website. We'll take
51
00:02:42.500 --> 00:02:45.700
you to information about the application and
52
00:02:45.700 --> 00:02:49.400
the documents produced for this examination including
```

```
00:02:48.400 --> 00:02:51.600
the examination procedure the
54
00:02:51.600 --> 00:02:54.300
timetable rather than representations and
5.5
00:02:54.300 --> 00:02:57.300
examination documents. So, please look at
56
00:02:57.300 --> 00:03:00.400
the website if you haven't already done, so we will
57
00:03:00.400 --> 00:03:03.600
be using it to communicate with you at large
58
00:03:03.600 --> 00:03:06.600
and to provide access to documents throughout
59
00:03:06.600 --> 00:03:08.000
the examination.
60
00:03:10.200 --> 00:03:13.200
Our planning inspectorate colleagues working with us on this
61
00:03:13.200 --> 00:03:16.800
examination. You are probably
62
00:03:16.800 --> 00:03:20.300
familiar with them by now Louise haraway
63
00:03:19.300 --> 00:03:23.200
is here today a case manager together
64
00:03:22.200 --> 00:03:25.800
with Michelle Gregory who is online.
65
00:03:25.800 --> 00:03:28.800
They are the case managers for these
66
00:03:28.800 --> 00:03:30.500
for this application.
```

```
00:03:32.300 --> 00:03:36.300
And they're assisted today by Ramil Bernie
68
00:03:35.300 --> 00:03:37.200
who's also in the room.
69
00:03:39.100 --> 00:03:42.700
If anybody needs the hearing Loop facility, could they
70
00:03:42.700 --> 00:03:46.400
contact one of those people please
71
00:03:45.400 --> 00:03:49.600
 and please contact those
72
00:03:48.600 --> 00:03:51.500
people if you need any help
73
00:03:51.500 --> 00:03:53.600
at today's events or with the technology?
74
00:03:56.200 --> 00:03:59.300
So to remind everybody the hearings in
75
00:03:59.300 --> 00:04:02.900
this examination are being live streamed and recorded to
76
00:04:02.900 --> 00:04:06.500
enable people to follow proceedings later. And
77
00:04:05.500 --> 00:04:09.300
if you're online, please stay muted
78
00:04:08.300 --> 00:04:11.400
for the time being unless you
79
00:04:11.400 --> 00:04:14.400
are speaking and if you wish to speak at relevant point,
```

```
00:04:14.400 --> 00:04:17.100
please use the team's handset function. We will
81
00:04:17.100 --> 00:04:21.500
endeavor to spot you. Timiously. If
82
00:04:20.500 --> 00:04:24.400
if we don't then you should
83
00:04:24.400 --> 00:04:27.300
turn on your camera so that we can see that you wish to
00:04:27.300 --> 00:04:27.600
speak.
85
00:04:29.500 --> 00:04:32.300
The recordings that we make are retained and
86
00:04:32.300 --> 00:04:35.400
published. So they will form a public record that can contain
00:04:35.400 --> 00:04:38.800
your personal information to which the general
00:04:38.800 --> 00:04:42.700
data protection regulation applies. So we
89
00:04:42.700 --> 00:04:45.400
would encourage you and request that
90
00:04:45.400 --> 00:04:48.400
if you feel that personal information is necessary to
91
00:04:48.400 --> 00:04:51.300
impart we'll be grateful
92
00:04:51.300 --> 00:04:54.300
if you could provide that in a written document that
93
00:04:54.300 --> 00:04:56.900
we can redact before publication.
```

```
94
00:04:57.900 --> 00:05:00.700
to anybody have any questions on that specific point
95
00:05:02.800 --> 00:05:08.100
Q so secondly, I
96
00:05:06.100 --> 00:05:09.300
would remind people that
97
00:05:09.300 --> 00:05:12.400
this hearing is to enable us as a panel
98
00:05:12.400 --> 00:05:15.600
to hear and probe the applicants strategic case
99
00:05:15.600 --> 00:05:18.200
for compulsory acquisition in respect of
100
00:05:18.200 --> 00:05:18.900
the application.
00:05:19.800 --> 00:05:22.600
To those affected persons who have requested to
102
00:05:22.600 --> 00:05:26.100
be heard, but have not been invited to speak today. You
103
00:05:25.100 --> 00:05:27.800
will be heard at the second.
104
00:05:29.300 --> 00:05:33.800
CA hearing which will be held in February next
105
00:05:32.800 --> 00:05:35.700
year to enable all
106
00:05:35.700 --> 00:05:37.300
affected persons to be heard.
```

```
00:05:38.800 --> 00:05:41.500
In the meantime, you're welcome to observe watch online or
108
00:05:41.500 --> 00:05:44.400
listen to the recording and send in your
109
00:05:44.400 --> 00:05:48.000
comments as post hearing submissions deadline for
110
00:05:47.600 --> 00:05:51.400
which is 16th of December 2022.
111
00:05:54.500 --> 00:05:58.300
Third point to notice that we will be keeping to a
112
00:05:57.300 --> 00:06:00.500
minimum the documents
113
00:06:00.500 --> 00:06:03.500
which will be shared on the screen. Alright, I
00:06:03.500 --> 00:06:06.400
think that's some proven in practice. I'm not
115
00:06:06.400 --> 00:06:09.500
sure if there are any but Mr. Rigby May indicate if there are
116
00:06:10.400 --> 00:06:14.500
I've got a couple of housekeeping matters just
117
00:06:13.500 --> 00:06:16.300
to let people know we'll take a
118
00:06:16.300 --> 00:06:20.500
break it approximately half past three and resume
119
00:06:20.500 --> 00:06:23.100
around about 10 20 minutes
120
00:06:23.100 --> 00:06:26.500
or so resume that ten to four and
```

```
121
00:06:26.500 --> 00:06:28.900
we'll aim to finish by 5pm.
122
00:06:31.300 --> 00:06:34.900
There are quite a lot of items to get through and we
123
00:06:34.900 --> 00:06:37.200
expect some of those will be shorter than
124
00:06:37.200 --> 00:06:37.600
others.
125
00:06:39.400 --> 00:06:42.600
In the event of a fire alarm, please exit straight
126
00:06:42.600 --> 00:06:45.200
through the main doors there and out
127
00:06:45.200 --> 00:06:46.600
onto the pavement.
00:06:47.600 --> 00:06:49.900
The toilets are located.
129
00:06:50.900 --> 00:06:54.300
Where the toilets on the ground floor there through
130
00:06:53.300 --> 00:06:55.300
the corridor there?
131
00:06:56.200 --> 00:07:00.300
Okay, thank you. So what
132
00:06:59.300 --> 00:07:04.100
I'm going to do now is to ask everybody who
133
00:07:02.100 --> 00:07:06.800
is a participating
```

```
00:07:05.800 --> 00:07:09.100
to introduce
135
00:07:08.100 --> 00:07:11.600
themselves and I'll start
136
00:07:11.600 --> 00:07:15.100
with the applicant as usual. Please remember
137
00:07:14.100 --> 00:07:17.400
to introduce yourself each time you speak
138
00:07:17.400 --> 00:07:20.200
this afternoon so that
139
00:07:20.200 --> 00:07:24.100
we have a complete record and anyone watching or listening can
140
00:07:23.100 --> 00:07:26.300
follow after the event.
00:07:27.500 --> 00:07:27.800
so
142
00:07:29.100 --> 00:07:32.800
As an impressive array of people are on
143
00:07:32.800 --> 00:07:35.000
this side of the room. I think
144
00:07:35.300 --> 00:07:38.300
I see Mr. Turney. So good afternoon Mr. Tony.
145
00:07:38.300 --> 00:07:41.600
Would you like to introduce your team? Good afternoon Sir
146
00:07:41.600 --> 00:07:45.200
Richard Turney here Council instructed
147
00:07:44.200 --> 00:07:48.000
on behalf of the applicant by Pinson
```

```
148
00:07:47.600 --> 00:07:50.500
Mason's LLP. I'm just
149
00:07:50.500 --> 00:07:53.900
going to introduce the people who are going to speak today. I
150
00:07:53.900 --> 00:07:57.100
don't anticipate everyone on the front row. We'll speak with we've
151
00:07:56.100 --> 00:07:59.300
been given a long table but not
152
00:07:59.300 --> 00:08:02.100
much space behind. So that's that's fine. But some of the means
some
153
00:08:02.100 --> 00:08:05.200
people are here who aren't going to speak and so to
154
00:08:05.200 --> 00:08:08.500
my right Richard Griffiths partner at Pinson Masons
155
00:08:08.500 --> 00:08:11.300
and my instructing solicitor next to him
00:08:11.300 --> 00:08:13.400
Luke Murray for Sonica.
157
00:08:14.300 --> 00:08:18.000
And next to Mr. Murray Lynn
158
00:08:17.400 --> 00:08:20.500
McHale of wsp. Who's dealing
159
00:08:20.500 --> 00:08:23.400
with property acquisition matters? Yeah,
160
00:08:23.400 --> 00:08:28.500
but McHale is that McHale? Yes, MC h
```

```
161
00:08:27.500 --> 00:08:30.300
a l e. Is that
162
00:08:30.300 --> 00:08:30.400
right?
163
00:08:31.200 --> 00:08:32.200
Okay got that right. Thank you.
164
00:08:34.200 --> 00:08:37.600
So those the people you intend will be speaking this afternoon.
That's
165
00:08:37.600 --> 00:08:40.600
right. Identity's better have to ask anyone else there. Maybe
166
00:08:40.600 --> 00:08:43.300
point to detail that I do need to call on someone else but no, so
I'11
167
00:08:43.300 --> 00:08:46.300
introduce them. That's fine. I have I have Lyn McKayla and
168
00:08:46.300 --> 00:08:50.100
flat f*** Max flowered
169
00:08:49.100 --> 00:08:52.500
you from yesp Mr. Flowers
170
00:08:52.500 --> 00:08:55.600
 just behind it's okay. So he will
171
00:08:55.600 --> 00:08:58.000
doubtless a sister right? Thank you very much.
172
00:08:59.100 --> 00:09:02.400
Okay, so that's the applicant button. I believe
00:09:02.400 --> 00:09:03.700
that the
```

```
174
00:09:04.600 --> 00:09:07.400
Local authorities are not here
175
00:09:07.400 --> 00:09:11.200
save for West Suffolk. Council.
176
00:09:10.200 --> 00:09:13.400
Is that right? Yes. Good afternoon.
177
00:09:16.100 --> 00:09:19.600
Good afternoon. I'm Julie Barry principal planning officer at West
Suffolk
178
00:09:19.600 --> 00:09:19.900
Council.
179
00:09:22.700 --> 00:09:26.900
Thank you very much statutory Undertakers.
180
00:09:26.900 --> 00:09:30.500
I again, I don't think any statutory Undertakers
00:09:29.500 --> 00:09:32.400
are here this afternoon.
182
00:09:33.900 --> 00:09:36.400
So moving on I think
183
00:09:36.400 --> 00:09:39.600
we have representatives from Parish councils.
184
00:09:40.300 --> 00:09:45.800
Or perhaps not Chippenham Parish
185
00:09:45.800 --> 00:09:48.500
Council. We had
186
00:09:48.500 --> 00:09:51.500
Ms. Maxwell down his Ms. Maxwell
```

```
00:09:51.500 --> 00:09:51.700
here.
188
00:09:54.100 --> 00:09:57.800
Online no, okay, and then we
189
00:09:57.800 --> 00:10:00.700
had from Fordham Parish Council Miss
190
00:10:00.700 --> 00:10:02.600
Pettit Miss Pettit here.
191
00:10:03.400 --> 00:10:06.300
Okay, and then from
192
00:10:06.300 --> 00:10:10.200
Wallington Parish Council, Ms. McKenzie,
193
00:10:09.200 --> 00:10:11.400
Paula McKenzie
194
00:10:11.900 --> 00:10:14.100
okay, and then
195
00:10:14.100 --> 00:10:17.700
we also had Red Lodge
196
00:10:17.700 --> 00:10:21.700
Parish Council a representative that
197
00:10:20.700 --> 00:10:23.400
I see this no one
198
00:10:23.400 --> 00:10:23.700
in the
199
00:10:25.800 --> 00:10:28.900
A allotted space so that's fine. I'll move
200
00:10:28.900 --> 00:10:32.000
on then to say no
```

```
201
00:10:31.300 --> 00:10:36.100
to Sunny correction group. It's Mr. Kazalco.
202
00:10:35.100 --> 00:10:38.800
It is a yes, Daniel kozelco Jr.
203
00:10:38.800 --> 00:10:41.800
Council for say no to Sonicare Action Group and
204
00:10:41.800 --> 00:10:43.300
the new market Horseman's group.
205
00:10:43.900 --> 00:10:44.600
Thank you very much.
206
00:10:45.500 --> 00:10:48.300
And I we also
207
00:10:48.300 --> 00:10:52.800
had on our list of attendees and Noble Miss
00:10:52.800 --> 00:10:53.100
Noble.
209
00:10:54.700 --> 00:10:57.500
She may be attending online. Although I
210
00:10:57.500 --> 00:10:58.700
know as a result of the
211
00:10:59.700 --> 00:11:02.400
The second hearing being listed a
212
00:11:02.400 --> 00:11:05.100
number of people who were intending to attend this hearing are no
213
00:11:05.100 --> 00:11:06.900
longer attending right? I see. Thank you.
```

```
00:11:09.900 --> 00:11:10.700
so then
215
00:11:11.900 --> 00:11:14.400
if we turn to the other side of
216
00:11:14.400 --> 00:11:14.600
217
00:11:15.600 --> 00:11:19.200
Room sitting in Splendid isolation we have
218
00:11:18.200 --> 00:11:21.700
good afternoon sir. Good
219
00:11:21.700 --> 00:11:24.600
afternoon, sir. It's Samuel knobs from bidwell's. I'm
220
00:11:24.600 --> 00:11:27.500
a chartered surveyor at home behalf of the Mitcham family
00:11:27.500 --> 00:11:30.500
around owning and farming family principally between
222
00:11:30.500 --> 00:11:32.100
Burwell and Fordham.
223
00:11:33.600 --> 00:11:36.900
Sorry, I just take it. Which
224
00:11:36.900 --> 00:11:39.400
family is that the Mitchum family?
225
00:11:39.400 --> 00:11:40.100
Yes.
226
00:11:41.300 --> 00:11:45.200
Thank you. And your name again, please Samuel knobs
227
00:11:44.200 --> 00:11:46.100
and obbs.
```

```
00:11:50.300 --> 00:11:53.200
Thank you very much, Mr. Knob so that your representing the Mitchum
family.
229
00:11:54.300 --> 00:11:57.300
and then so
230
00:11:59.500 --> 00:12:02.300
I'll just go down the list and well, that's only
231
00:12:02.300 --> 00:12:05.300
what we'll I don't know if there's
232
00:12:05.300 --> 00:12:09.300
anybody on online but we had attending
00:12:08.300 --> 00:12:12.000
virtually we had representative from
234
00:12:11.500 --> 00:12:14.300
H put a limited.
235
00:12:16.300 --> 00:12:19.300
Yes, good afternoon, sir. And my name
236
00:12:19.300 --> 00:12:20.400
is Isabella Tucker.
237
00:12:21.200 --> 00:12:22.900
Hello, Miss tougher.
238
00:12:23.300 --> 00:12:26.300
Hello, and I'm representing Hermes property unit
239
00:12:26.300 --> 00:12:28.300
for a and being Limited.
240
00:12:30.100 --> 00:12:31.700
Right. Thank you very much.
```

```
241
00:12:39.500 --> 00:12:40.900
And then we had
242
00:12:42.300 --> 00:12:45.800
virtual attendee as drug development Solutions
243
00:12:47.400 --> 00:12:50.500
Good afternoon, sir. My name is Jonathan Bauer from
244
00:12:50.500 --> 00:12:53.800
Womble Bond Dickinson and I'm instructed on
245
00:12:53.800 --> 00:12:57.100
behalf of drug development Solutions LGC limited
246
00:12:56.100 --> 00:12:59.500
an LGC bio research
247
00:12:59.500 --> 00:13:02.800
 limited and they occupy the campus
248
00:13:02.800 --> 00:13:05.800
 that Miss tough
249
00:13:05.800 --> 00:13:08.100
 for represents the Freeholder for
250
00:13:09.200 --> 00:13:09.900
Oh, right.
251
00:13:20.900 --> 00:13:24.100
Thank you Mr. Bauer. Now, I
252
00:13:23.100 --> 00:13:26.600
think that oh Mr. Yates
253
00:13:26.600 --> 00:13:29.100
Toby Yates. There's a mystery Yates
254
00:13:29.100 --> 00:13:32.300
```

```
online. I am a lion afternoon sir and
255
00:13:32.300 --> 00:13:35.200
pop into Mason so it won't be covered by Richard
256
00:13:35.200 --> 00:13:38.400
Turner Richard Griffiths. Thank you. Oh, yeah, you're from
Princeton
257
00:13:38.400 --> 00:13:42.000
basins. Yeah. Okay. So what what role
258
00:13:41.100 --> 00:13:44.300
do you have in do you have a particular
259
00:13:44.300 --> 00:13:47.800
role within the Rima to the application? I said
260
00:13:47.800 --> 00:13:50.300
I just support the team on a daily basis. But as
00:13:50.300 --> 00:13:54.400
far as herons are concerned it to Turning Richard Griffiths will
report back. Okay. Thank
262
00:13:54.400 --> 00:13:57.200
you. All right. So just that is
263
00:13:57.200 --> 00:13:58.900
there anybody else who's attending online?
264
00:14:00.400 --> 00:14:01.700
We may have missed.
265
00:14:03.300 --> 00:14:03.800
Okay.
266
00:14:04.800 --> 00:14:05.200
so
00:14:06.800 --> 00:14:10.000
```

```
then what I'll do is I'll just for the
268
00:14:09.300 --> 00:14:12.200
 sake of completeness. Is anybody sitting around
269
00:14:12.200 --> 00:14:15.700
the table who hasn't introduced themselves who needs
270
00:14:15.700 --> 00:14:15.800
271
00:14:17.200 --> 00:14:20.700
No, thank you. And so I'll just go
272
00:14:20.700 --> 00:14:23.600
down the rest of the list that I have then to
00:14:23.600 --> 00:14:26.000
make sure everyone.
274
00:14:27.400 --> 00:14:33.000
Is able to indicate if
275
00:14:31.300 --> 00:14:34.600
they are here, so the
276
00:14:34.600 --> 00:14:36.900
other people I had as Julia Huffer.
00:14:39.800 --> 00:14:41.300
Alan Richardson
278
00:14:42.400 --> 00:14:43.600
Linda Homan
279
00:14:46.600 --> 00:14:51.000
Mrs. Lee McLeod Mr. Stafford
00:14:50.900 --> 00:14:52.300
was reeks.
```

```
281
00:14:53.100 --> 00:14:54.900
Mr. Leech
282
00:14:55.800 --> 00:14:57.400
Mr. Bridges
283
00:14:59.600 --> 00:15:01.500
Mr. Bowers here
284
00:15:04.600 --> 00:15:07.300
so that yes, I see that completes my so {\tt I}
285
00:15:07.300 --> 00:15:11.600
think as Mr. Salko is you in intimated those
286
00:15:10.600 --> 00:15:13.700
people might be reserving their
287
00:15:14.900 --> 00:15:19.100
Thunder for the second cah, okay.
288
00:15:17.100 --> 00:15:19.400
Thank you.
289
00:15:20.600 --> 00:15:24.500
Right. So I think that those
290
00:15:23.500 --> 00:15:26.300
are the introductions. Does anybody
291
00:15:26.300 --> 00:15:29.400
have any procedural questions before I hand
292
00:15:29.400 --> 00:15:30.500
over to Mr. Rigby?
293
00:15:31.000 --> 00:15:31.400
No.
294
00:15:33.700 --> 00:15:36.300
```

```
Before I do hand over to Mr. Rigby
295
00:15:36.300 --> 00:15:39.700
and I want to make a reference
296
00:15:39.700 --> 00:15:42.400
to document that was submitted.
297
00:15:43.400 --> 00:15:46.600
At deadline D3 a
298
00:15:46.600 --> 00:15:49.300
which was only a
299
00:15:49.300 --> 00:15:52.300
few days ago by the
300
00:15:52.300 --> 00:15:55.800
applicant and it's it's called update by the applicant
301
00:15:55.800 --> 00:15:59.000
on Heritage matters and substation connection.
302
00:16:01.300 --> 00:16:04.400
The document has been accepted as
303
00:16:04.400 --> 00:16:07.700
part of those submissions that were requested.
304
00:16:08.500 --> 00:16:12.500
On that deadline and given a
305
00:16:12.500 --> 00:16:15.400
reference number and people can find it at the
306
00:16:15.400 --> 00:16:17.700
ref in the examination library at reference.
00:16:18.500 --> 00:16:21.200
Rep3a -
```

```
308
00:16:21.200 --> 00:16:22.800
0 3 7
309
00:16:24.900 --> 00:16:27.300
I'm not going to go into detail about it.
310
00:16:28.400 --> 00:16:29.200
at the moment
311
00:16:31.100 --> 00:16:34.300
But I I think it's important to point out
312
00:16:34.300 --> 00:16:37.300
that it makes reference to the intention of the applicants make
313
00:16:37.300 --> 00:16:40.600
further changes to the original application submitted
314
00:16:40.600 --> 00:16:41.000
to us.
315
00:16:41.800 --> 00:16:44.500
on 18 November and accepted on 16 December
316
00:16:44.500 --> 00:16:46.900
last year the
317
00:16:48.700 --> 00:16:52.000
changes that the applicant intends
318
00:16:51.100 --> 00:16:54.700
to make our set out in that
319
00:16:54.700 --> 00:16:57.400
not in the cover
320
00:16:57.400 --> 00:17:00.300
letter, but in that document and they are
321
00:17:00.300 --> 00:17:03.800
```

```
fourfold and they deal with the grid
322
00:17:03.800 --> 00:17:05.100
connection at Burwell.
323
00:17:05.700 --> 00:17:08.700
Secondly Seneca West site
324
00:17:08.700 --> 00:17:11.400
B thirdly the crash site.
325
00:17:11.400 --> 00:17:14.100
What is known as the crash site at Isle home, which is
326
00:17:14.900 --> 00:17:16.400
Which you'll find as plot.
00:17:17.900 --> 00:17:21.400
E05 I
328
00:17:21.400 --> 00:17:24.100
think the references for that
329
00:17:24.100 --> 00:17:28.800
and fourthly an additional archaeological protection
330
00:17:28.800 --> 00:17:29.300
area.
331
00:17:31.200 --> 00:17:32.600
and I will
332
00:17:34.200 --> 00:17:37.600
just briefly indicate the
333
00:17:37.600 --> 00:17:40.700
substance of the applicants
334
00:17:40.700 --> 00:17:43.100
intentions as expressed in that document.
```

```
335
00:17:44.200 --> 00:17:48.200
It referring to burwell's substation.
336
00:17:49.200 --> 00:17:52.200
The applicant intends to bring forward to change to
337
00:17:52.200 --> 00:17:54.400
account for the removal of option two.
338
00:17:56.900 --> 00:17:59.900
In particular that would involve removing work
339
00:17:59.900 --> 00:18:02.800
number five be and powers of compulsory acquisition
340
00:18:02.800 --> 00:18:05.600
over that third party land but retaining
341
00:18:05.600 --> 00:18:08.100
powers to compulsory require rights to
342
00:18:08.100 --> 00:18:11.400
enable the cabling to pass through that land to connect to Burwell.
343
00:18:12.700 --> 00:18:15.600
That may or may not be something that
344
00:18:15.600 --> 00:18:19.100
Mr. Rigby is inclined
345
00:18:18.100 --> 00:18:21.500
 to deal with this afternoon in
346
00:18:21.500 --> 00:18:24.700
terms of the the agenda that's
00:18:24.700 --> 00:18:27.600
on on the here the agenda
348
00:18:27.600 --> 00:18:30.900
```

```
this afternoon, the the second
349
00:18:30.900 --> 00:18:34.300
 intended change is
350
00:18:33.300 --> 00:18:37.500
about the Roman filler
351
00:18:36.500 --> 00:18:40.700
 and field w01. The
352
00:18:39.700 --> 00:18:42.200
applicant is of the
353
00:18:42.200 --> 00:18:45.400
view that it's appropriate for that field to be removed from
354
00:18:45.400 --> 00:18:50.000
the scheme and the applicant
355
00:18:48.300 --> 00:18:51.900
also feels that the adjoining
356
00:18:51.900 --> 00:18:54.600
field it would not be appropriate to
357
00:18:54.600 --> 00:18:58.400
be developed for solar in isolation. So in
358
00:18:57.400 --> 00:19:00.200
summary what we
359
00:19:00.200 --> 00:19:03.500
understand the applicants intention to be is that
360
00:19:03.500 --> 00:19:07.600
Seneca Westby site would be removed but
00:19:06.600 --> 00:19:10.500
 a cable Corridor would
```

```
362
00:19:09.500 --> 00:19:12.300
still be proposed.
363
00:19:12.600 --> 00:19:15.900
To connect the rest of the operational development
364
00:19:15.900 --> 00:19:17.700
to the substation.
365
00:19:19.700 --> 00:19:20.000
366
00:19:22.400 --> 00:19:26.300
the document refers to various suggestions and
367
00:19:25.300 --> 00:19:30.100
proposals about timetabling and
368
00:19:31.600 --> 00:19:35.000
in particular the timing of the eventual
369
00:19:34.500 --> 00:19:36.000
change request
370
00:19:40.500 --> 00:19:40.700
the
371
00:19:42.100 --> 00:19:46.500
crash site at eiselham which we inspected
372
00:19:45.500 --> 00:19:48.200
on an accompanied site. Visit
373
00:19:48.200 --> 00:19:51.100
a few weeks ago is the third
374
00:19:51.100 --> 00:19:54.600
item of the intended changes and the applicant
375
00:19:54.600 --> 00:20:01.000
```

```
considers its appropriate that the government
376
00:19:58.000 --> 00:20:01.300
body
377
00:20:01.300 --> 00:20:04.600
responsible for considering military remains and wall
378
00:20:04.600 --> 00:20:08.300
Graves should be in
379
00:20:07.300 --> 00:20:10.200
a position and I understand it
380
00:20:10.200 --> 00:20:13.800
the applicant has already applied for a license to
381
00:20:13.800 --> 00:20:17.400
this effect to determine whether
382
00:20:16.400 --> 00:20:19.700
works in the area of the aircraft remains
383
00:20:19.700 --> 00:20:24.000
should be able to take place again in
384
00:20:23.100 --> 00:20:26.700
the context of the hearings that have already been scheduled
385
00:20:26.700 --> 00:20:29.300
for this week this may or may
386
00:20:29.300 --> 00:20:32.800
not be something that my colleague Mrs. Taylor
387
00:20:32.800 --> 00:20:37.600
may wish to explore to
388
00:20:36.600 --> 00:20:40.000
tomorrow when dealing
```

```
389
00:20:39.200 --> 00:20:41.800
with issue issues specific.
390
00:20:42.600 --> 00:20:43.000
hearing to
391
00:20:47.100 --> 00:20:50.300
I don't think it's necessary for me to say much
392
00:20:50.300 --> 00:20:55.000
more about that at this stage the the
393
00:20:53.400 --> 00:20:57.400
fourth item.
394
00:20:58.300 --> 00:21:01.000
of the intended changes relates to
395
00:21:02.200 --> 00:21:06.900
the Northern parts of w
396
00:21:05.900 --> 00:21:08.400
04 North
397
00:21:08.400 --> 00:21:11.300
and there is
398
00:21:11.300 --> 00:21:14.800
a an intention to exclude part
399
00:21:14.800 --> 00:21:17.900
of that land which relates
400
00:21:17.900 --> 00:21:20.300
to what is termed a
401
00:21:20.300 --> 00:21:22.400
new archaeological protection area.
402
00:21:24.400 --> 00:21:27.000
```

```
403
00:21:28.100 --> 00:21:31.600
Canvased in that document about when
404
00:21:31.600 --> 00:21:35.700
that change request may take place and that's
405
00:21:35.700 --> 00:21:39.000
predicated on the on the
406
00:21:38.800 --> 00:21:41.300
eventuality of any consultation that
407
00:21:41.300 --> 00:21:44.800
takes place that clearly be on a non statutory basis.
408
00:21:46.100 --> 00:21:50.700
I don't really want to say anything in
409
00:21:49.700 --> 00:21:53.300
much detail about that at
410
00:21:52.300 --> 00:21:55.600
this stage the panel wishes
411
00:21:55.600 --> 00:21:59.200
to make progress with the hearings
412
00:21:58.200 --> 00:22:01.500
that have been scheduled for these matters, but
413
00:22:01.500 --> 00:22:06.100
we do note the the submissions
414
00:22:05.100 --> 00:22:10.400
that have been made in that document and accepted
415
00:22:09.400 --> 00:22:11.700
it into the examination.
```

And there are various states that have been.

```
00:22:12.900 --> 00:22:15.500
What we excuse me,
417
00:22:15.500 --> 00:22:18.400
what we will be minded to do and I can say this
418
00:22:18.400 --> 00:22:21.700
and I'll say it at the subsequent hearings
419
00:22:21.700 --> 00:22:24.300
that are held this week is that
420
00:22:24.300 --> 00:22:27.700
what we are minded to do is to issue a procedural decision.
421
00:22:28.900 --> 00:22:31.600
Making a request under rule
422
00:22:31.600 --> 00:22:34.500
17 of the relevance examination rules
423
00:22:34.500 --> 00:22:38.200
and to request all interested parties
424
00:22:37.200 --> 00:22:41.200
to comment if they
425
00:22:41.200 --> 00:22:45.100
wish to on that document and
426
00:22:44.100 --> 00:22:49.800
in particular the suggested changes
427
00:22:48.800 --> 00:22:52.300
to the timetable and date
428
00:22:51.300 --> 00:22:56.300
or dates for the submission of
429
00:22:55.300 --> 00:22:58.200
```

```
the change request.
430
00:23:01.400 --> 00:23:03.600
and so
431
00:23:06.500 --> 00:23:09.400
I don't want to leave this without actually giving
432
00:23:09.400 --> 00:23:12.600
Mr. Turney an opportunity to reply briefly
433
00:23:12.600 --> 00:23:15.200
if there's anything that he thinks I've missed
434
00:23:15.200 --> 00:23:19.400
in in summarizing the the basic
435
00:23:18.400 --> 00:23:21.400
effect of the of the document.
436
00:23:23.600 --> 00:23:26.200
Thank you, sir. Richard Turney for the applicant. I
437
00:23:27.100 --> 00:23:30.400
I'm very grateful for your summary. Which on the
438
00:23:30.400 --> 00:23:33.300
whole is a very very good
439
00:23:33.300 --> 00:23:35.600
summary. There's one point that I think perhaps
440
00:23:36.200 --> 00:23:39.400
is worth emphasizing that in respect
441
00:23:39.400 --> 00:23:40.600
of the crash site.
00:23:41.600 --> 00:23:44.300
The Proposal is for a 50
```

```
443
00:23:44.300 --> 00:23:47.800
meter exclusion Zone and the further
444
00:23:47.800 --> 00:23:50.300
exclusion zone is the matter which would be the subject of
445
00:23:50.300 --> 00:23:53.500
the input from the relevant statutory body. But
446
00:23:53.500 --> 00:23:57.200
other than that, I think agree with
447
00:23:57.200 --> 00:24:00.400
your summary, thank you. Yeah, you're quite right Mr. Turning
that's
448
00:24:00.400 --> 00:24:03.800
my bad that is subject matter of the license application.
449
00:24:03.800 --> 00:24:06.400
Okay. Well, we'll leave
00:24:06.400 --> 00:24:09.400
it there except I will say this that as well
451
00:24:09.400 --> 00:24:12.200
as Mrs. Taylor may be inclined to look at some of those
452
00:24:12.200 --> 00:24:15.600
matters as they relate to the what's on her agenda for
453
00:24:15.600 --> 00:24:18.400
the hearing tomorrow. There is
454
00:24:18.400 --> 00:24:21.500
an item on the agenda, which
455
00:24:21.500 --> 00:24:24.100
I will be leading on the Thursday which has to deal with
```

```
00:24:24.100 --> 00:24:27.200
the principle of the development and that it seems to me to be
457
00:24:27.200 --> 00:24:30.200
an appropriate place in which to discuss in a little
458
00:24:30.200 --> 00:24:33.800
bit more detail. The implications of that as I
459
00:24:33.800 --> 00:24:36.900
say the the feeling
460
00:24:36.900 --> 00:24:39.900
of the panel is that we wish to obtain interested parties
461
00:24:39.900 --> 00:24:40.900
views on that and
462
00:24:41.700 --> 00:24:44.300
Reminded to issue a PD to that
463
00:24:44.300 --> 00:24:47.800
effect after the last hearing that we hold this week.
464
00:24:49.300 --> 00:24:52.300
Okay. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Tony will move on
465
00:24:52.300 --> 00:24:56.000
now and I'll hand over to Mr. Rigby to
466
00:24:55.500 --> 00:24:58.300
take us through the rest of the agenda.
467
00:25:01.900 --> 00:25:04.100
Thank you, Mr. Keane.
468
00:25:04.900 --> 00:25:06.600
Is everything loud and clear?
469
00:25:07.800 --> 00:25:10.200
Good afternoon, everyone. My name's Guy Rigby and
```

```
470
00:25:10.200 --> 00:25:10.900
I'll be leading.
471
00:25:11.700 --> 00:25:13.200
the bulk of the rest of this hearing
472
00:25:14.500 --> 00:25:17.400
So it's white and two the purpose of this compulsory acquisition
473
00:25:17.400 --> 00:25:19.700
hearing which is really the how on the why.
474
00:25:22.200 --> 00:25:24.600
Some first and preliminaries about how we'll conduct miss hearing.
475
00:25:25.600 --> 00:25:28.900
We conducting it in accordance with sections 92
476
00:25:28.900 --> 00:25:31.600
 and 94 of the planning apps 2008 and
477
00:25:31.600 --> 00:25:35.400
Rule 14 of the infrastructure planning examination procedurals,
478
00:25:34.400 --> 00:25:37.200
which relates to procedure with
479
00:25:37.200 --> 00:25:37.400
a hearing.
480
00:25:38.400 --> 00:25:41.700
specifically rule 14 to requires to
481
00:25:41.700 --> 00:25:43.000
set out at the beginning of the hearing
482
00:25:43.800 --> 00:25:45.000
the matters to be considered
```

```
00:25:46.300 --> 00:25:49.300
so our purpose today is to consider the matters on the agenda
484
00:25:49.300 --> 00:25:51.000
that was issued last week.
485
00:25:52.400 --> 00:25:53.800
Now give them the numbers here today.
486
00:25:54.900 --> 00:25:57.700
We hope for a relatively informal approach while remembering
487
00:25:57.700 --> 00:26:00.500
that this is technically a statutory inquiry under schedule
488
00:26:00.500 --> 00:26:03.900
seven of the tribunal courts and enforcement tax 2007.
489
00:26:05.100 --> 00:26:08.400
The principle of section 94 of the ACT is that the examining
00:26:08.400 --> 00:26:11.100
Authority will lead on questioning. Although there is
491
00:26:11.100 --> 00:26:14.600
 a provision for direct questioning bypasses should be considered
492
00:26:14.600 --> 00:26:17.300
as to be necessary. I don't think we will
493
00:26:17.300 --> 00:26:20.100
 consider that's been necessary. It's only ever happens
494
00:26:20.100 --> 00:26:21.500
in exceptional circumstances.
495
00:26:22.500 --> 00:26:26.000
So today our leading the questioning on behalf of the examining
Authority
496
00:26:25.800 --> 00:26:28.300
```

```
for the most of the remaining items.
497
00:26:31.300 --> 00:26:35.800
At the resume preliminary meeting on the 28th of September 2022.
That's
498
00:26:34.800 --> 00:26:37.200
to say before the start of
499
00:26:37.200 --> 00:26:37.600
the examination.
500
00:26:38.600 --> 00:26:41.700
The examining Authority decided to accept the proposed changes
501
00:26:41.700 --> 00:26:44.300
to the application which had been submitted by the applicants on
502
00:26:44.300 --> 00:26:46.200
the 31st of August 2022.
503
00:26:47.200 --> 00:26:50.500
So just to be clear that means that the compulsive acquisition
regulations is
504
00:26:50.500 --> 00:26:53.500
there and that is to say the infrastructure planning
505
00:26:53.500 --> 00:26:56.600
compulsory acquisition regulations to 2010 as
506
00:26:56.600 --> 00:26:59.900
 amended and in particular regulation 15, therefore
507
00:26:59.900 --> 00:27:03.100
not engaged in this case because the first
508
00:27:02.100 --> 00:27:05.300
changes were accepted before we
509
00:27:05.300 --> 00:27:06.200
```

```
started examination.
510
00:27:07.700 --> 00:27:10.600
So consequently as my
511
00:27:10.600 --> 00:27:13.900
colleague Mr. Keen has already mentioned today. We're
512
00:27:13.900 --> 00:27:16.400
hearing submissions concerning the application as
513
00:27:16.400 --> 00:27:19.100
it currently stands that is to say including
514
00:27:19.100 --> 00:27:23.000
the changes accepted at the preliminary meeting back in September,
but
515
00:27:22.500 --> 00:27:25.500
obviously making no judgments on what
00:27:25.500 --> 00:27:26.300
may or may not be
517
00:27:27.300 --> 00:27:28.900
submitted later in the examination
518
00:27:29.900 --> 00:27:32.500
These submissions will relate to the applicant strategic
519
00:27:32.500 --> 00:27:35.500
case and whether or not the statutory tests have been complied
520
00:27:35.500 --> 00:27:35.600
with.
521
00:27:36.800 --> 00:27:39.600
so please be assured and I think people are because quite
522
00:27:39.600 --> 00:27:41.900
 a few people who really originally
```

```
00:27:42.800 --> 00:27:45.300
set to be here or not here. There will
524
00:27:45.300 --> 00:27:48.400
be an opportunity for all those individually affected by the
revised
525
00:27:48.400 --> 00:27:52.000
application to be heard second compulsory acquisition
526
00:27:51.400 --> 00:27:54.200
hearing which we held in February 2023.
527
00:27:55.500 --> 00:27:55.700
So
528
00:27:56.700 --> 00:27:57.400
for today we
529
00:27:58.400 --> 00:28:00.500
Will conduct the hearing around table format.
530
00:28:01.200 --> 00:28:03.900
So although the agenda items initially list the applicants.
531
00:28:05.200 --> 00:28:09.000
We will also invite contributions from persons who've requested
532
00:28:08.100 --> 00:28:12.000
to be heard and who are attendance in relation
533
00:28:11.200 --> 00:28:13.400
to the points that are made.
534
00:28:17.200 --> 00:28:20.500
And then we'll commit the applicant of all response obviously
535
00:28:20.500 --> 00:28:22.200
to close to the points raised.
```

```
536
00:28:23.700 --> 00:28:26.500
If it's necessary will allow the original speaker to conclude
537
00:28:26.500 --> 00:28:28.400
taking account of the applicant's response.
538
00:28:29.400 --> 00:28:32.600
So so that we've closed the loop if in the event of somebody
539
00:28:32.600 --> 00:28:34.400
raising something which you haven't actually
540
00:28:35.500 --> 00:28:36.400
project yourself
541
00:28:37.200 --> 00:28:40.800
We of course can question any speaker and any relevant issue arising
542
00:28:40.800 --> 00:28:41.600
the proposals?
543
00:28:43.300 --> 00:28:46.200
I don't think we've got any experts here today. Have
544
00:28:46.200 --> 00:28:49.800
we the applicant you have your experts here? I think
545
00:28:49.800 --> 00:28:52.500
is it right to say that experts are
546
00:28:52.500 --> 00:28:55.800
already here, aren't they? Yeah, so the
547
00:28:55.800 --> 00:28:57.100
note there are no no further. That's fine.
548
00:28:58.000 --> 00:28:58.400
ground
```

```
00:28:59.700 --> 00:29:02.100
so we can skip through that little bit.
550
00:29:07.100 --> 00:29:08.700
So as I say it's a strategic case.
551
00:29:09.700 --> 00:29:10.000
and
552
00:29:11.900 --> 00:29:14.700
not just for compulsory acquisition but also for temporary
553
00:29:14.700 --> 00:29:17.700
possession noting that although the statutory
554
00:29:17.700 --> 00:29:20.400
tests in the act in sections one two,
555
00:29:20.400 --> 00:29:23.800
two and one two, three do not apply to Temporary possession.
00:29:23.800 --> 00:29:26.500
We must nevertheless be satisfied that
557
00:29:26.500 --> 00:29:29.700
the use of the power is Justified in order to implement the
558
00:29:29.700 --> 00:29:30.300
proposed development.
559
00:29:31.400 --> 00:29:34.100
That human rights considerations a matter
560
00:29:34.100 --> 00:29:37.600
of Human Rights Act and that there are compensation Provisions
561
00:29:37.600 --> 00:29:40.800
which are adequate to compensate those affected for the
562
00:29:40.800 --> 00:29:41.700
proposed interference.
```

```
00:29:43.200 --> 00:29:46.300
The book of reference and statement to reasons should also make it
clear
564
00:29:46.300 --> 00:29:50.100
which plots were affected by temporary possession Powers because
obviously
565
00:29:49.100 --> 00:29:53.100
some plots may be affected by both TP and
566
00:29:52.100 --> 00:29:54.000
CA powers.
567
00:29:55.600 --> 00:29:58.300
The second here is I said will be heard that we held
568
00:29:58.300 --> 00:30:01.800
in February 2023 to hear individual affected
569
00:30:01.800 --> 00:30:04.900
persons impacted by these compulsory acquisition and
570
00:30:04.900 --> 00:30:06.400
temporary possession proposals.
571
00:30:08.400 --> 00:30:09.100
So today's hearing.
572
00:30:10.200 --> 00:30:13.500
As on the agenda papers, we will examine the
573
00:30:13.500 --> 00:30:16.800
applicant strategic case and whether the relevant legal
574
00:30:16.800 --> 00:30:18.900
 tests policy and guidance have been addressed.
575
00:30:20.800 --> 00:30:24.000
in particular we'll inquiring to consider and
```

```
576
00:30:23.400 --> 00:30:26.000
establish Clarity and respects of
577
00:30:26.600 --> 00:30:29.700
The following aspects of the application for aspects
578
00:30:29.700 --> 00:30:30.000
I think.
579
00:30:30.800 --> 00:30:33.400
First we've got the applicant strategic case for both
580
00:30:33.400 --> 00:30:36.800
compulsory acquisition and temporary possession of land
581
00:30:36.800 --> 00:30:37.800
and rights.
582
00:30:39.400 --> 00:30:40.000
secondly
583
00:30:41.200 --> 00:30:44.700
the compulsory acquisition and related Provisions as their
584
00:30:44.700 --> 00:30:47.400
presented within the draft development consent order. That's
585
00:30:47.400 --> 00:30:48.000
the dco.
586
00:30:50.600 --> 00:30:53.300
Thirdly, whether the conditions relating to the
587
00:30:53.300 --> 00:30:56.900
land being required for each proposed development or required
588
00:30:56.900 --> 00:30:59.300
to facilitate or be incidental.
```

```
00:31:00.100 --> 00:31:01.700
To the proposed development of that.
590
00:31:02.500 --> 00:31:05.500
And finally, whether there's a compelling case in
591
00:31:05.500 --> 00:31:06.000
the public interest.
592
00:31:06.900 --> 00:31:09.300
For the compulsory acquisition Provisions, which are being
593
00:31:09.300 --> 00:31:09.600
sold.
594
00:31:12.600 --> 00:31:15.200
Under Section 123 subpower two of the ACT.
595
00:31:16.100 --> 00:31:20.300
I can seeking to acquire land and rights Overland compulsively
596
00:31:19.300 --> 00:31:22.700
for nationally significant infrastructure
597
00:31:22.700 --> 00:31:24.200
projects under the planning Acts.
598
00:31:24.800 --> 00:31:27.600
Need to make provision for this in their draft dco.
599
00:31:29.200 --> 00:31:32.300
And then the two tests have to be satisfied under Section
600
00:31:32.300 --> 00:31:34.400
122 of the act for each application.
601
00:31:35.900 --> 00:31:38.500
Which is firstly a development
602
00:31:38.500 --> 00:31:41.800
consent order may only include provision authorizing compulsory
```

```
603
00:31:41.800 --> 00:31:44.100
acquisition if the land is required for
604
00:31:44.100 --> 00:31:46.600
the development to which the development consent relates.
605
00:31:47.400 --> 00:31:50.400
Or is required to facilitate or is incidental
606
00:31:50.400 --> 00:31:51.100
to that development.
607
00:31:51.900 --> 00:31:54.200
Or replacement land which is to be
608
00:31:54.200 --> 00:31:57.400
 given an exchange for the order land. I don't think that's
applicable in this
609
00:31:57.400 --> 00:31:58.100
case.
610
00:31:59.100 --> 00:31:59.700
secondly
611
00:32:00.500 --> 00:32:03.400
There must be compelling case in the public interest for the lamb
612
00:32:03.400 --> 00:32:04.600
to be acquired compulsory.
613
00:32:05.400 --> 00:32:08.400
So it's there for the applicant to defend and justify its
614
00:32:08.400 --> 00:32:11.300
proposals and to show how the above tester satisfied
615
00:32:11.300 --> 00:32:15.000
for each parcel of land which intends to acquire compulsory
```

```
00:32:14.800 --> 00:32:16.200
for each application.
617
00:32:17.300 --> 00:32:20.100
And a particular the applicant should be
618
00:32:20.100 --> 00:32:22.200
able to show that the lamb to be acquired.
619
00:32:23.500 --> 00:32:27.200
Each parcel is no more than is reasonably required and
620
00:32:26.200 --> 00:32:29.200
that the public benefit outweighs the
621
00:32:29.200 --> 00:32:29.900
private loss.
622
00:32:30.700 --> 00:32:33.400
The various factors which we take into
623
00:32:33.400 --> 00:32:36.700
account in our recommendation to the Secretary of State as to
624
00:32:36.700 --> 00:32:39.600
whether or not to authorize a provision in the development consent
625
00:32:39.600 --> 00:32:42.000
order authorizing the compulsory acquisition of
626
00:32:42.000 --> 00:32:42.500
land.
627
00:32:43.200 --> 00:32:44.400
might include the following
628
00:32:45.800 --> 00:32:48.600
that's all reasonable alternatives to compulsory
629
00:32:48.600 --> 00:32:51.800
```

```
acquisition including modifications to the project have
630
00:32:51.800 --> 00:32:52.400
been explored.
631
00:32:53.400 --> 00:32:56.400
That the proposed interference with the rights of those with an
632
00:32:56.400 --> 00:32:59.700
interest in the land is firstly for
633
00:32:59.700 --> 00:33:02.100
legitimate purpose and necessary.
634
00:33:03.200 --> 00:33:04.000
and proportionate
635
00:33:05.600 --> 00:33:08.200
also that the applicant has a clear idea of how
636
00:33:08.200 --> 00:33:10.200
the land which is to be acquired is to be used.
637
00:33:11.500 --> 00:33:14.800
There's a reasonable Prospect of the requisite funds for
638
00:33:14.800 --> 00:33:16.200
compulsory acquisition becoming available.
639
00:33:18.200 --> 00:33:22.100
And the purposes are sufficient to justify interfering
640
00:33:21.100 --> 00:33:24.300
with the human rights of those with an interest in
641
00:33:24.300 --> 00:33:27.500
the land affected with particular reference to Article
642
00:33:27.500 --> 00:33:30.300
1 of the first protocol of view being convention
```

```
643
00:33:30.300 --> 00:33:31.400
on human rights.
644
00:33:32.700 --> 00:33:35.600
The application must be accompanied by funding statement which
645
00:33:35.600 --> 00:33:38.000
explains how the composite acquisition is to be
646
00:33:38.200 --> 00:33:42.400
funded. That's we have a funding statement. In this case. It's
helpful
647
00:33:42.400 --> 00:33:45.200
 to include as much information as available at this
648
00:33:45.200 --> 00:33:48.200
 stage about how the project as a whole will be funded and
649
00:33:48.200 --> 00:33:49.100
 the business case for it.
00:33:50.200 --> 00:33:53.800
And in particular the applicant should be able to show that adequate
funding
651
00:33:53.800 --> 00:33:56.500
will be available for compulsory acquisition within
652
00:33:56.500 --> 00:33:59.300
the standard design period which in this case is the
653
00:33:59.300 --> 00:34:00.400
normal period five years.
654
00:34:04.800 --> 00:34:07.100
The applicant must also submit the
00:34:07.100 --> 00:34:10.400
 application statement of reasons relating to the compulsory
acquisition
```

```
00:34:10.400 --> 00:34:11.000
provisions.
657
00:34:12.300 --> 00:34:15.000
And which justifies the compulsory acquisition sort?
658
00:34:15.700 --> 00:34:18.400
Explains why there's a compelling case in the
659
00:34:18.400 --> 00:34:21.400
public interest and gives reasons for the creation of new
660
00:34:21.400 --> 00:34:21.900
rights.
661
00:34:22.900 --> 00:34:25.000
The documents will be using referring to
662
00:34:25.300 --> 00:34:27.400
today to today are the latest versions.
00:34:28.300 --> 00:34:32.100
Which have come in at deadline to and I think in some cases that
deadline 3A.
664
00:34:34.100 --> 00:34:35.600
We may also make reference.
665
00:34:37.200 --> 00:34:40.200
In these hearings if both this one and the second can possibly
666
00:34:40.200 --> 00:34:43.600
acquisition hearing so the planning act 2008 to
667
00:34:43.600 --> 00:34:46.600
DC LG guidance relating to procedures for
668
00:34:46.600 --> 00:34:48.000
the compulsory acquisition of land.
```

```
669
00:34:48.900 --> 00:34:51.800
Came out in 2013, hence, they're
670
00:34:51.800 --> 00:34:54.500
still called the DC LG guidance and also
671
00:34:54.500 --> 00:34:57.300
the DC LG guidance for the examination of
672
00:34:57.300 --> 00:35:00.600
applications for development consent, which came out in 2015.
673
00:35:02.100 --> 00:35:05.300
So that's all I wanted to say by way of introductory remarks. I just
think
674
00:35:05.300 --> 00:35:07.500
it's important to for everybody to be absolutely clear about.
675
00:35:08.700 --> 00:35:11.200
What needs to be done and what's being done at
00:35:11.200 --> 00:35:12.900
this hearing and apps?
677
00:35:13.300 --> 00:35:14.200
second hearing
678
00:35:14.800 --> 00:35:18.100
said to the main business of these hearings. We're now
679
00:35:18.100 --> 00:35:19.000
as a gender item three.
680
00:35:23.500 --> 00:35:25.300
and this is to do with
681
00:35:26.200 --> 00:35:28.800
the applicant strategic case now
682
```

```
00:35:30.200 --> 00:35:34.100
I have down the following. I want to speak under this item. I have
683
00:35:33.100 --> 00:35:36.400
am Noble from say no to Sonica Action
684
00:35:36.400 --> 00:35:39.500
Group. Could we confirm and Noble
685
00:35:39.500 --> 00:35:40.900
are you here or not here?
686
00:35:42.600 --> 00:35:43.400
Not here. Thank you.
687
00:35:44.400 --> 00:35:47.600
And Isabella to four I gather your online and
688
00:35:47.600 --> 00:35:49.900
you would wish to speak under this item. Is that correct?
689
00:35:53.300 --> 00:35:56.800
And it's correct that I'm online sir, and
690
00:35:56.800 --> 00:35:59.400
then really speaking
691
00:35:59.400 --> 00:36:02.600
and I think our intention today is
692
00:36:02.600 --> 00:36:06.600
perhaps to have a word and but
693
00:36:05.600 --> 00:36:09.000
not really active part,
694
00:36:08.200 --> 00:36:11.400
otherwise given the
695
00:36:11.400 --> 00:36:14.400
community that we have in February to spot our
```

```
00:36:14.400 --> 00:36:17.100
case in detail in the event that we
697
00:36:17.100 --> 00:36:20.400
haven't managed to reach agreement with the applicants and with
your
698
00:36:20.400 --> 00:36:21.200
objection by then.
699
00:36:23.100 --> 00:36:26.500
Thank you very much, Mr. For I wasn't quite clear whether you
700
00:36:26.500 --> 00:36:29.300
might also want to make points relating to the applications as
00:36:29.300 --> 00:36:29.600
a whole.
702
00:36:30.100 --> 00:36:31.500
so if you do then
703
00:36:32.700 --> 00:36:35.500
stick your hand up or get in touch with us or
704
00:36:35.500 --> 00:36:38.500
turn your camera on and make any submissions.
705
00:36:38.500 --> 00:36:41.200
You want to make in relation to the application the whole as a
706
00:36:41.200 --> 00:36:43.600
whole. Is that okay? That's fine. Thank you, sir.
00:36:44.600 --> 00:36:46.700
Thanks very much. So we'll hear from.
708
00:36:47.800 --> 00:36:50.300
From you if necessary when we've first heard from
```

```
00:36:50.300 --> 00:36:50.800
the applicants.
710
00:36:53.700 --> 00:36:55.400
so right to the applicant
00:36:56.700 --> 00:36:59.300
First it's gonna one or two things that I want
712
00:36:59.300 --> 00:37:00.200
to clear up.
713
00:37:00.900 --> 00:37:03.400
In respect of cross-referencing each
714
00:37:03.400 --> 00:37:06.700
plot in the book of reference to the relevant DCL articles.
715
00:37:07.600 --> 00:37:09.300
We note your response to our
716
00:37:10.800 --> 00:37:13.700
xq1 question 1.3.24
717
00:37:14.700 --> 00:37:17.500
and that plots where cable rights and access rights are
718
00:37:17.500 --> 00:37:19.200
sort are now identified in the book of reference.
719
00:37:20.400 --> 00:37:23.800
You've popped an extra column in there. There's no explanation
720
00:37:23.800 --> 00:37:26.400
at the start of the book of reference about what the
721
00:37:26.400 --> 00:37:27.700
new right Source are.
722
00:37:28.500 --> 00:37:31.200
```

```
Or a table of new rights and many
723
00:37:31.200 --> 00:37:34.500
of the plots have not applicable in the new column, which is
724
00:37:34.500 --> 00:37:36.600
headed gastric of Rights sort.
725
00:37:37.200 --> 00:37:40.200
For example plot 105 where under the
726
00:37:40.200 --> 00:37:41.300
description of the land.
727
00:37:42.500 --> 00:37:45.600
You say acquisition of Rights, but then you've
728
00:37:45.600 --> 00:37:47.900
put not applicable under the cash regards.
729
00:37:48.600 --> 00:37:51.600
So I was running perhaps you could explain why
730
00:37:51.600 --> 00:37:54.900
the category of Rights sought column states where
00:37:54.900 --> 00:37:55.500
relevance?
732
00:37:56.500 --> 00:37:59.400
And why that column States not applicable when the
733
00:37:59.400 --> 00:38:02.700
description of land is not just talking about
00:38:02.700 --> 00:38:05.600
the description. It's also stating acquisition of
00:38:05.600 --> 00:38:06.000
Rights.
```

```
00:38:07.100 --> 00:38:10.500
Just to help us and help anybody with an interest in a
737
00:38:10.500 --> 00:38:13.100
particular plot to know what rights are thought
738
00:38:13.100 --> 00:38:15.700
in respect of that plot. I'm wondering if you could just
739
00:38:18.200 --> 00:38:19.200
explain that to us, please.
740
00:38:27.500 --> 00:38:30.200
So I am Richard turning for the applicant.
741
00:38:31.200 --> 00:38:35.100
I wonder if we can come back to you on that in a moment because my
colleagues
742
00:38:34.100 --> 00:38:37.300
just look at certainly. Yeah,
743
00:38:37.300 --> 00:38:40.300
not a problem. Just let me know when you want to and just thank
you. Just weave
744
00:38:40.300 --> 00:38:40.500
it in.
745
00:38:42.500 --> 00:38:45.200
The next little queer I got was
746
00:38:45.200 --> 00:38:49.000
in response to our question. Excuse one
747
00:38:48.700 --> 00:38:51.500
three twenty seven in respect
00:38:51.500 --> 00:38:52.600
to possible claims.
```

```
749
00:38:53.700 --> 00:38:54.700
just to ask
750
00:38:55.500 --> 00:38:58.600
whether you're satisfied that there's no person outside
751
00:38:58.600 --> 00:39:01.100
the order limits who might be in a position
752
00:39:01.100 --> 00:39:04.300
to make a claim and it should therefore be or be it
753
00:39:04.300 --> 00:39:07.200
with no plot number appended in parts of the
754
00:39:07.200 --> 00:39:08.000
book of reference.
755
00:39:19.100 --> 00:39:22.500
Thanks there Richard Turney for
756
00:39:22.500 --> 00:39:25.200
the applicant. We are satisfied on that
757
00:39:25.200 --> 00:39:28.300
that there's no potential claim. That should be listed in
758
00:39:28.300 --> 00:39:31.200
part two. Thanks for confirming that that's right. That's very
helpful.
759
00:39:31.200 --> 00:39:34.100
Next. There's just
760
00:39:34.100 --> 00:39:35.800
three short matters relating to the land.
761
00:39:38.100 --> 00:39:40.500
We note that the version submitted a deadline to
```

```
00:39:41.500 --> 00:39:44.100
marked revision 03 Revo 3 on the front
763
00:39:44.100 --> 00:39:46.900
cover dated 11th of November 2022
764
00:39:47.900 --> 00:39:50.300
appears to have O2 in the revision box on
765
00:39:50.300 --> 00:39:53.400
each sheet and each sheets also now shows a
766
00:39:53.400 --> 00:39:57.500
green box in the key greens normally
767
00:39:57.500 --> 00:40:00.500
use when you want to indicate you placement
768
00:40:00.500 --> 00:40:03.500
land, but we understand that you're using it for all around where
00:40:03.500 --> 00:40:05.900
temporary use only is salt.
770
00:40:07.700 --> 00:40:10.700
Um, if you could confirm that's correct,
771
00:40:10.700 --> 00:40:13.700
and also advise whether the land plan
772
00:40:13.700 --> 00:40:16.200
 submitted at deadline to is revision to
773
00:40:16.200 --> 00:40:17.100
or revision three.
774
00:40:18.400 --> 00:40:21.300
But thanks to Rich turning for
775
00:40:21.300 --> 00:40:24.600
 the applicant. I think it is revision three and we're looking to
```

```
00:40:24.600 --> 00:40:27.700
why the sheets show the
777
00:40:27.700 --> 00:40:30.200
wrong or potential wrong reference, but
778
00:40:30.200 --> 00:40:33.200
we'll look at that the Greenland you're right.
779
00:40:33.200 --> 00:40:36.500
So it's not it's not shown as replacement land. There isn't
replacement land in
780
00:40:36.500 --> 00:40:39.600
this scheme. Yeah, so shared just a temporary only. Yeah, if
00:40:39.600 --> 00:40:42.600
this anyhow, we didn't know
782
00:40:42.600 --> 00:40:45.500
that there was no cover sheet on the previous version when you
783
00:40:45.500 --> 00:40:48.600
submitted the change request it was submitted a
784
00:40:48.600 --> 00:40:51.800
appendix eye. That's as 253. I
785
00:40:51.800 --> 00:40:54.300
think but the revision box was Mark
786
00:40:54.300 --> 00:40:57.800
revision one and the cover sheet for that version submitted at
00:40:57.800 --> 00:41:00.500
deadline to shows as revision, too. So maybe
00:41:00.500 --> 00:41:01.400
the numbers got
```

```
00:41:02.300 --> 00:41:03.900
Lost in Translation a little bit there.
790
00:41:09.300 --> 00:41:13.100
Well, we'll work this out. So yeah, thanks very much. Yeah, so
00:41:12.100 --> 00:41:16.900
everybody knows what's what's what there certainly on
792
00:41:15.900 --> 00:41:20.100
referring to plot 21-04
793
00:41:18.100 --> 00:41:21.100
which I
794
00:41:22.200 --> 00:41:26.400
From That's The b11o2 Junction
795
00:41:25.400 --> 00:41:28.200
with what we think is very lame. But
796
00:41:28.200 --> 00:41:31.700
maybe I'll be have another name. I gather between them and
797
00:41:31.700 --> 00:41:34.400
Wellington on revision three of the land planned.
798
00:41:34.400 --> 00:41:37.400
It's barely discernible color green when we've
799
00:41:37.400 --> 00:41:40.500
blown up on the screen and the large scale insect of
800
00:41:40.500 --> 00:41:43.300
that and any other plots of that size would
801
00:41:43.300 --> 00:41:44.300
help the great deal.
802
00:41:45.600 --> 00:41:46.300
```

```
Looking at the land plan.
803
00:41:47.400 --> 00:41:51.100
The inset box the plot2104 on
804
00:41:50.100 --> 00:41:53.200
revision one did have I think have an inset
805
00:41:53.200 --> 00:41:56.200
box which made it visible because it is really only very
806
00:41:56.200 --> 00:41:56.800
small plot.
807
00:41:58.500 --> 00:42:01.300
So could you tell us whether there are any other
00:42:01.300 --> 00:42:04.000
plots other than plot2104, is that the only one?
809
00:42:24.400 --> 00:42:27.300
So rich Journey for the applicant that they're again, I think there
810
00:42:27.300 --> 00:42:30.200
are some other other small plots, but not that's the only
811
00:42:30.200 --> 00:42:30.900
green one. Is that right?
812
00:42:31.600 --> 00:42:34.300
That's the only green plot great. Thanks very much because
813
00:42:34.300 --> 00:42:37.100
we provide an inset for that if that helps. Thank you. Yeah, it
would
814
00:42:37.100 --> 00:42:40.700
help a great deal particularly also for any in any plots
815
00:42:40.700 --> 00:42:43.400
of that size, which don't have an inset. It'll just
```

```
816
00:42:43.400 --> 00:42:46.900
help folks to see where they are and that
817
00:42:46.900 --> 00:42:49.100
being the case it might help if the green box
818
00:42:49.100 --> 00:42:50.000
only appeared.
819
00:42:51.100 --> 00:42:54.200
On the key for those sheets of the plan where they're actually
820
00:42:54.200 --> 00:42:54.900
our green plots.
821
00:42:55.900 --> 00:42:58.300
Because otherwise, you've got a green plot on a sheet on
822
00:42:58.300 --> 00:43:01.200
 the plow and people are going looking for it. They can't find
anything. So that
823
00:43:01.200 --> 00:43:01.900
might help as well.
824
00:43:03.100 --> 00:43:08.700
And perhaps while we're talking about plot2104. Would
825
00:43:07.700 --> 00:43:10.500
it be possible to give us a brief update?
826
00:43:11.200 --> 00:43:14.500
in respecting the negotiation of a license agreements and whether
827
00:43:14.500 --> 00:43:17.300
you are getting anywhere and whether you may have to rely on
828
00:43:17.300 --> 00:43:19.500
temporary possession powers under Article 28
```

```
829
00:43:24.500 --> 00:43:27.200
I think I'm going to have some rich
830
00:43:27.200 --> 00:43:30.200
setting that I think I'm gonna have to go to Mr. Flower G who
831
00:43:30.200 --> 00:43:33.400
I thought I wouldn't need. I'm gonna have to bring him in early and
seceding
832
00:43:33.400 --> 00:43:36.400
the problem as he's our resident expert on this that's
833
00:43:36.400 --> 00:43:38.700
 convenient to do it now, that would be appreciated. Thank you.
Thank you.
834
00:43:42.600 --> 00:43:44.400
So we can't hear you very well. Can you speak up?
835
00:43:47.300 --> 00:43:50.600
Yeah, Max large on behalf of the applicant. We are
836
00:43:50.600 --> 00:43:53.300
currently negotiating with the land owner. We have been
837
00:43:53.300 --> 00:43:56.400
in correspondence with them regarding an undertaking for some legal
fees.
838
00:43:57.300 --> 00:44:00.400
And we haven't heard back for them for about four weeks now. So
we're
839
00:44:00.400 --> 00:44:03.300
just right. We'll Chase up on that so great. So it's just other to
00:44:03.300 --> 00:44:06.700
do list. Yeah, exactly. Yeah, but yeah, we are in negotiations.
Thank you
```

```
00:44:06.700 --> 00:44:06.800
very much.
00:44:07.500 --> 00:44:08.100
very helpful
843
00:44:10.300 --> 00:44:13.600
So if I could ask the applicant now just to explain
844
00:44:13.600 --> 00:44:14.800
the Strategic case.
845
00:44:15.800 --> 00:44:18.500
For compulsory acquisition and for temporary
846
00:44:18.500 --> 00:44:22.400
possession and why you're doing it the way you're doing it really
just in
847
00:44:21.400 --> 00:44:23.500
respect to the application as a whole.
848
00:44:24.600 --> 00:44:27.100
So Richard turning for the applicant before I do so should we just
849
00:44:27.100 --> 00:44:30.200
 come back to your first point? Because I think the Griffiths is
ready to
850
00:44:30.200 --> 00:44:32.000
answer your question on that. Thank you.
851
00:44:32.800 --> 00:44:35.100
Thank you. So Richard Griffiths on
852
00:44:35.100 --> 00:44:39.400
behalf the applicant so the paragraph 1.2.6 of
853
00:44:39.400 --> 00:44:40.500
the book of reference.
```

```
00:44:41.700 --> 00:44:44.300
Identifies that the categories in that column that
855
00:44:44.300 --> 00:44:48.200
says category rights sort links back to scheduld
856
00:44:47.200 --> 00:44:51.000
Eco. So the draft DCA identifies that
857
00:44:50.500 --> 00:44:53.200
schedulate cable rights and describes what
858
00:44:53.200 --> 00:44:57.200
they are access rights and scribes what they are. So paragraph
1.2.6
859
00:44:56.200 --> 00:44:59.200
makes that link you then
860
00:44:59.200 --> 00:45:02.200
as you quite rightly point out the new column inserting the
861
00:45:02.200 --> 00:45:05.500
book of reference with the category of Rights sort where relevant
is
862
00:45:05.500 --> 00:45:08.800
there in the heading because not all plots. It's relevant where
863
00:45:08.800 --> 00:45:11.200
it requires purely Freehold land. It won't be
864
00:45:11.200 --> 00:45:14.300
relevant now where some we'll see right it is relevant.
865
00:45:14.300 --> 00:45:17.000
That's why that word is there there are
866
00:45:17.800 --> 00:45:20.600
going through the book of reference and looking at scheduling to
that do appear
```

```
867
00:45:20.600 --> 00:45:24.500
to be some Nas, which aren't correct. Yeah,
868
00:45:24.500 --> 00:45:28.000
for example. Yes 105 for
869
00:45:27.100 --> 00:45:30.200
example is a plot that has na but we
870
00:45:30.200 --> 00:45:33.400
look at the book of reference and scheduling is
871
00:45:33.400 --> 00:45:36.400
access rights. So we'll cross-check again that
872
00:45:36.400 --> 00:45:39.700
book of reference to ensure that all plots in scheduling
873
00:45:39.700 --> 00:45:41.400
 eight match up with the book of reference.
874
00:45:41.700 --> 00:45:44.800
Will submit that to as soon as we can that'll
875
00:45:44.800 --> 00:45:47.900
be our deadline for hopefully, yeah. Okay. Yeah,
876
00:45:47.900 --> 00:45:50.200
that'd be useful because it just makes it easy. I should because
877
00:45:50.200 --> 00:45:53.000
be able to look at one document and see what we need
878
00:45:53.100 --> 00:45:56.800
to see without getting some Roque NASA Krypton a few pot few
879
00:45:56.800 --> 00:45:59.200
plots. So yeah, correct that and submit that a
880
00:45:59.200 --> 00:46:00.800
```

```
deadline for thanks very much. Thank you.
881
00:46:03.300 --> 00:46:06.200
so rich attorney again for the applicant then on the
882
00:46:06.200 --> 00:46:09.300
Strategic case and I think you're seeking
883
00:46:09.300 --> 00:46:12.300
 a brief overview of why we seek these
884
00:46:12.300 --> 00:46:17.500
Powers both of compulsory acquisition and temporary possession
885
00:46:16.500 --> 00:46:19.200
and use so if I
886
00:46:19.200 --> 00:46:23.100
just say first of all in summary, we're seeking
887
00:46:22.100 --> 00:46:25.300
these powers to ensure that the scheme can
888
00:46:25.300 --> 00:46:28.900
be built maintained and operated and of
889
00:46:28.900 --> 00:46:31.500
course that is to deliver the benefits which
890
00:46:31.500 --> 00:46:35.800
we set out in our application it in
891
00:46:35.800 --> 00:46:38.400
particular meeting government policy and respect
892
00:46:38.400 --> 00:46:41.400
of the provision of new renewable energy
893
00:46:41.400 --> 00:46:45.000
generation and meeting Net Zero carbon
```

```
894
00:46:44.400 --> 00:46:48.800
emissions targets and the applicant's
895
00:46:47.800 --> 00:46:50.400
position is that if we don't have
896
00:46:50.400 --> 00:46:53.300
these Powers the order land might not
897
00:46:53.300 --> 00:46:57.300
be able to be assembled and in particular
898
00:46:57.300 --> 00:47:01.100
the scheme may not be able to be constructed now
899
00:47:00.100 --> 00:47:02.700
just by way of
900
00:47:03.300 --> 00:47:07.700
further overview in respect of compulsory acquisition.
901
00:47:07.700 --> 00:47:12.200
The focus is on the main solar
902
00:47:10.200 --> 00:47:14.400
 farm sites, which obviously
903
00:47:13.400 --> 00:47:17.300
comprise not just areas identified
904
00:47:16.300 --> 00:47:19.300
for solar panels, but also
905
00:47:19.300 --> 00:47:22.300
other infrastructure which includes the
906
00:47:22.300 --> 00:47:25.800
substations and the battery energy storage
907
00:47:25.800 --> 00:47:26.200
```

```
system.
908
00:47:27.100 --> 00:47:32.000
And other related development and also
909
00:47:31.200 --> 00:47:34.100
land that's required for
910
00:47:34.100 --> 00:47:37.700
landscaping and for ecological mitigation. So
911
00:47:37.700 --> 00:47:41.900
there's a range of different land uses within those pink
912
00:47:40.900 --> 00:47:43.100
Parcels. If we can call it
00:47:43.100 --> 00:47:46.200
that and in respect of
914
00:47:46.200 --> 00:47:49.200
those areas of the applicants priority has been
915
00:47:49.200 --> 00:47:53.300
to seek to acquire the relevant interests through
916
00:47:52.300 --> 00:47:55.400
negotiation and we'll come on to
917
00:47:55.400 --> 00:47:58.700
particular objectives in due course, but in
918
00:47:58.700 --> 00:48:01.500
short in large part, the applicant
919
00:48:01.500 --> 00:48:05.300
has already negotiated and in many cases completed the
920
00:48:04.300 --> 00:48:07.300
relevant agreements for the main parts
```

```
921
00:48:07.300 --> 00:48:11.100
of the site and the applicant's
922
00:48:10.100 --> 00:48:14.100
position is that not withstanding that position it's appropriate
923
00:48:13.100 --> 00:48:16.400
for the compulsory acquisition
924
00:48:16.400 --> 00:48:19.400
powers to be in place to ensure
925
00:48:19.400 --> 00:48:24.800
that the scheme can be delivered to ensure that those arrangements
926
00:48:22.800 --> 00:48:25.700
with the landowners
927
00:48:25.700 --> 00:48:26.700
are given effect.
928
00:48:27.100 --> 00:48:30.300
And that in the event
929
00:48:30.300 --> 00:48:34.300
that there is any issue the scheme can nonetheless go
930
00:48:33.300 --> 00:48:34.400
ahead.
931
00:48:35.600 --> 00:48:38.400
And the further reason why
932
00:48:38.400 --> 00:48:41.700
that Freehold acquisition is proposed is to ensure
933
00:48:41.700 --> 00:48:44.300
that it's possible to extinguish any other
934
00:48:44.300 --> 00:48:47.400
```

```
rights in the sites concerned to the
935
00:48:47.400 --> 00:48:49.200
extent. They would conflict with the scheme.
936
00:48:50.200 --> 00:48:55.500
In respect of the grid connection
937
00:48:54.500 --> 00:48:58.400
Roots the interests
938
00:48:57.400 --> 00:49:01.600
sought are generally lesser
939
00:49:00.600 --> 00:49:03.400
interests in terms
940
00:49:03.400 --> 00:49:07.400
of Rights being sought and those powers
941
00:49:06.400 --> 00:49:10.300
are sought to facilitate the construction
942
00:49:09.300 --> 00:49:12.500
of the grid connection. We describe it
943
00:49:12.500 --> 00:49:16.400
as the cable Corridor. I think generally and of
944
00:49:15.400 --> 00:49:18.900
course the purpose of that is is clearly to
945
00:49:18.900 --> 00:49:22.700
allow the scheme the Generating
946
00:49:21.700 --> 00:49:24.500
Station to be connected to the National Grid
947
00:49:24.500 --> 00:49:28.100
substation at Burwell and it's
```

```
948
00:49:27.100 --> 00:49:30.400
for that purpose that we seek to acquire those
949
00:49:30.400 --> 00:49:33.200
rights and other interests to ensure
950
00:49:33.200 --> 00:49:36.400
that we can do so and that's both for
951
00:49:36.400 --> 00:49:39.600
the construction of and the maintenance and
952
00:49:39.600 --> 00:49:43.200
operation of that cable corridor.
953
00:49:44.200 --> 00:49:45.100
so
954
00:49:46.600 --> 00:49:49.500
There are in consequence of that. There are series of
955
00:49:49.500 --> 00:49:53.400
compulsory acquisition Powers. There's
956
00:49:52.400 --> 00:49:57.500
the Freehold Powers the
957
00:49:56.500 --> 00:49:59.400
acquisition of all interests, which
958
00:49:59.400 --> 00:50:02.400
is as I say the pink land asks 18
959
00:50:02.400 --> 00:50:05.400
in the dco the acquisition of
960
00:50:05.400 --> 00:50:09.300
new rights through article 20 of the dco and
961
00:50:08.300 --> 00:50:11.900
```

```
then the extinguishment or
962
00:50:11.900 --> 00:50:14.800
 suspension of Rights in respective
963
00:50:14.800 --> 00:50:17.200
article 21, and then
964
00:50:17.200 --> 00:50:20.900
there's a power to acquire subsoil in article 23
965
00:50:20.900 --> 00:50:23.400
which allows the applicant to take subsoil rights
966
00:50:23.400 --> 00:50:26.700
only and thereby minimized land take in certain
967
00:50:26.700 --> 00:50:28.800
instances the development of the scheme.
968
00:50:30.300 --> 00:50:33.900
And so as you noted in at outset in
969
00:50:33.900 --> 00:50:37.900
your instructory comments at the outset the temporary
970
00:50:37.900 --> 00:50:40.700
use provisions are different from compulsory acquisition.
971
00:50:40.700 --> 00:50:43.800
But as is always the case considered in
972
00:50:43.800 --> 00:50:46.100
the same way with we've set out again
973
00:50:46.100 --> 00:50:50.400
in the statement of reasons why we require those Powers temporary
974
00:50:49.400 --> 00:50:53.000
use is essentially a
```

```
975
00:50:52.400 --> 00:50:56.300
power that allows the applicant to enter onto land
976
00:50:56.300 --> 00:51:00.800
particular construction and maintenance purposes including
Preparatory
977
00:50:59.800 --> 00:51:02.500
Works in advance of
978
00:51:02.500 --> 00:51:05.300
vesting of the relevant
979
00:51:05.300 --> 00:51:10.500
 rights that's all dealt with in article 27
980
00:51:09.500 --> 00:51:14.300
and then article
981
00:51:12.300 --> 00:51:16.200
27 also
982
00:51:15.200 --> 00:51:18.800
sets out the temporary possession power for
983
00:51:18.800 --> 00:51:21.900
the purposes of construct in the authorized development, and
984
00:51:21.900 --> 00:51:25.200
we have referred
985
00:51:24.200 --> 00:51:28.200
briefly to the plot with which that's concerned.
986
00:51:30.100 --> 00:51:34.300
So those are in overview the compulsory
987
00:51:33.300 --> 00:51:36.100
acquisition and temporary rights, which
```

```
00:51:36.100 --> 00:51:40.100
are sought in this case. And obviously
989
00:51:39.100 --> 00:51:42.300
we've set out why we say those are
990
00:51:42.300 --> 00:51:45.600
required in much more detail in the statements of reasons and I can
991
00:51:45.600 --> 00:51:48.300
come on in more detail either now or
992
00:51:48.300 --> 00:51:51.400
later as to the detail of why we
993
00:51:51.400 --> 00:51:54.300
say we need those rights and why there's a compelling case
994
00:51:54.300 --> 00:51:54.700
for them.
995
00:51:58.000 --> 00:51:58.500
Okay.
996
00:52:03.000 --> 00:52:03.200
just
997
00:52:06.400 --> 00:52:07.300
follow on to that
998
00:52:09.400 --> 00:52:12.100
I'm thinking switch on I'm I audible. Yeah,
999
00:52:12.100 --> 00:52:15.600
thank you. So if you could confirm as
1000
00:52:15.600 --> 00:52:18.800
part of the strategy in relation to compulsory
1001
00:52:18.800 --> 00:52:19.300
acquisition.
```

```
1002
00:52:20.600 --> 00:52:20.900
that
1003
00:52:23.300 --> 00:52:26.500
first off in respect of all the land
1004
00:52:26.500 --> 00:52:29.200
all the older land you're taking
1005
00:52:29.200 --> 00:52:30.800
temporary possession of the all around.
1006
00:52:31.800 --> 00:52:34.400
And you're undertaking detail surveys and design
1007
00:52:34.400 --> 00:52:34.700
work.
1008
00:52:36.300 --> 00:52:39.700
And then your only compulsory acquiring land
1009
00:52:39.700 --> 00:52:42.600
or rights over land in respect
1010
00:52:42.600 --> 00:52:45.100
of that part of the order land which is
1011
00:52:45.100 --> 00:52:48.600
currently sought which you actually need at the
1012
00:52:48.600 --> 00:52:48.600
end.
1013
00:52:49.900 --> 00:52:52.500
For example where a coil cable Corridor
1014
00:52:52.500 --> 00:52:55.200
will come to it later. But where cable corriders say 100 meters
wide.
```

```
00:52:56.400 --> 00:52:57.700
You don't need the whole hundred meters.
1016
00:52:59.200 --> 00:53:02.500
So you applying to Temporary possess?
1017
00:53:03.600 --> 00:53:05.300
That order land.
1018
00:53:05.800 --> 00:53:07.500
And then you're going to work out exactly where?
1019
00:53:08.600 --> 00:53:11.500
Your cable car is going and then you'll just going to take the
rights
1020
00:53:11.500 --> 00:53:11.700
1021
00:53:12.500 --> 00:53:14.800
The corridor you actually need which presumably is not.
1022
00:53:15.400 --> 00:53:18.800
The whole hundred meters so rich turning
1023
00:53:18.800 --> 00:53:21.300
for the applicant that that's correct, but it is only
1024
00:53:21.300 --> 00:53:24.600
the cable Corridor where that analysis applies. So in
1025
00:53:24.600 --> 00:53:27.400
respect of the pink land that's not
1026
00:53:27.400 --> 00:53:31.100
the case. We require and will
1027
00:53:30.100 --> 00:53:33.400
acquire either by private treaty or
1028
```

```
00:53:33.400 --> 00:53:36.500
under the terms of the ca Powers
1029
00:53:36.500 --> 00:53:39.500
the whole of that land
1030
00:53:39.500 --> 00:53:42.300
construction of the scheme itself, but in respect
1031
00:53:42.300 --> 00:53:45.200
to the cable Corridor, that's right, the the
1032
00:53:45.200 --> 00:53:48.600
powers that we see in
1033
00:53:48.600 --> 00:53:51.100
respect of construction and the permanent rights are going
1034
00:53:51.100 --> 00:53:55.700
to be different because we need a working Corridor
1035
00:53:55.700 --> 00:53:58.900
for the construction of
1036
00:53:58.900 --> 00:54:01.500
the cable and we come
1037
00:54:01.500 --> 00:54:05.800
on to it obviously the width of that varies in certain
circumstances, but
1038
00:54:04.800 --> 00:54:08.700
then the cable right
1039
00:54:07.700 --> 00:54:10.300
that we're that we ultimately
1040
00:54:10.300 --> 00:54:14.400
need to rely on is an in a more narrow Corridor allowing
1041
00:54:13.400 --> 00:54:14.700
```

```
for
1042
00:54:15.400 --> 00:54:18.500
Access for maintenance and so on and the cable
1043
00:54:18.500 --> 00:54:21.300
to remain lying in the ground, so we're at the moment you've got.
1044
00:54:22.400 --> 00:54:25.400
Quite a wide sway because I feel for instance in the
1045
00:54:25.400 --> 00:54:26.600
end. You're not going to need all that.
1046
00:54:27.300 --> 00:54:30.400
So you'll just be needing the bit that you've worked out that
1047
00:54:30.400 --> 00:54:33.800
you do need when you've gone the detail that that's correct
1048
00:54:33.800 --> 00:54:36.600
and Bridge anything applicant. Sorry, and that's correct. And there
1049
00:54:36.600 --> 00:54:39.200
are two reasons for width here
1050
00:54:39.200 --> 00:54:42.700
as I hinted at a moment ago. It's both.
1051
00:54:43.300 --> 00:54:46.000
To construct the cable Corridor in other words
1052
00:54:46.200 --> 00:54:49.400
that the working area that's needed but also in some instances it's
because
1053
00:54:49.400 --> 00:54:52.700
the precise routing of the cable will have
1054
00:54:52.700 --> 00:54:55.200
 to depend on the detailed work that's
```

```
00:54:55.200 --> 00:54:58.400
carried out once with taking possession and
1056
00:54:58.400 --> 00:55:01.300
carried out that survey work. Well, yeah that that's what I
understood because
1057
00:55:01.300 --> 00:55:04.600
obviously you need a whole Road Wally building it anyway, wherever
it
1058
00:55:04.600 --> 00:55:07.300
goes so you might need say 30 meters
1059
00:55:07.300 --> 00:55:10.900
26 30 meters possibly but not 100 and
1060
00:55:10.900 --> 00:55:13.200
you presumably want to if I've got
1061
00:55:13.200 --> 00:55:16.300
this right you want to maintain those rights in
1062
00:55:16.300 --> 00:55:19.200
the event that you need to gain access during the operational
1063
00:55:19.200 --> 00:55:22.600
period for whatever reason for maintenance or any
1064
00:55:22.600 --> 00:55:22.800
other
1065
00:55:30.300 --> 00:55:33.200
Richard Turney for the applicant and I will switch the mic fan on
1066
00:55:33.200 --> 00:55:33.500
as well.
1067
00:55:35.500 --> 00:55:38.700
Just to break it down the generally speaking.
```

```
1068
00:55:38.700 --> 00:55:41.500
The temporary rights that
1069
00:55:41.500 --> 00:55:45.000
are connected with the construction is a 30 meter
1070
00:55:44.400 --> 00:55:48.000
Corridor and that is sufficient to
1071
00:55:47.300 --> 00:55:50.500
allow in most instances for the
1072
00:55:50.500 --> 00:55:53.400
working area around the
1073
00:55:53.400 --> 00:55:57.000
around the trench. There are
1074
00:55:56.700 --> 00:56:00.600
instances where we have taken a wider Corridor
1075
00:56:00.600 --> 00:56:03.800
for construction purposes because the precise
1076
00:56:03.800 --> 00:56:06.300
alignment is not known or further working
1077
00:56:06.300 --> 00:56:09.600
area is required. So for example, the crossing
1078
00:56:09.600 --> 00:56:12.600
of the Railway line is is the best instance of
1079
00:56:12.600 --> 00:56:15.100
that where they're going to be a number of
1080
00:56:15.100 --> 00:56:18.900
separates drills underneath
```

```
00:56:18.900 --> 00:56:21.400
the railway line a separation distance between those
1082
00:56:21.400 --> 00:56:24.100
is required and working areas for each
1083
00:56:24.100 --> 00:56:27.200
of those areas is required. So that's why we
1084
00:56:27.200 --> 00:56:30.500
move out into a wider area when we
1085
00:56:30.500 --> 00:56:33.400
encounter potential issues that require
1086
00:56:33.400 --> 00:56:35.200
some further work. Yeah.
1087
00:56:35.600 --> 00:56:39.400
So so that's that's the construction
1088
00:56:38.400 --> 00:56:41.900
phase in the operation of
1089
00:56:41.900 --> 00:56:45.100
the scheme. The corridor that
1090
00:56:45.100 --> 00:56:48.400
we're concerned with is a 10 meter Corridor, but it's a corridor
1091
00:56:48.400 --> 00:56:51.500
which we would then have permission to enter to carry out Works to
1092
00:56:51.500 --> 00:56:54.400
the cable. But essentially at that
1093
00:56:54.400 --> 00:56:58.100
point the cable is lying underground and the
1094
00:56:57.100 --> 00:57:00.400
the right is to keep the cable
```

```
1095
00:57:00.400 --> 00:57:04.400
in the ground to prevent activities that will interfere
1096
00:57:03.400 --> 00:57:06.200
with that cable and to enter onto it
1097
00:57:06.200 --> 00:57:09.200
to to carry out any works that are required.
1098
00:57:09.200 --> 00:57:11.800
Yeah. Yeah fine. Thank you. Yeah, I mean
1099
00:57:13.700 --> 00:57:16.300
almost tempted with the remote things like the railway Crossing
1100
00:57:16.300 --> 00:57:19.100
to put the question reverse and ask if you you're sure you've
1101
00:57:19.100 --> 00:57:19.800
actually got enough land.
00:57:20.300 --> 00:57:22.800
Because it can get quite tricky with things like that.
1103
00:57:23.600 --> 00:57:26.900
Will you happy at the moment? Anyway Savage saying
1104
00:57:26.900 --> 00:57:29.200
for the applicant yet? Yes. We are in the sense
1105
00:57:29.200 --> 00:57:32.300
that we've been in discussion with network rail about
1106
00:57:32.300 --> 00:57:36.400
how that has to be engineered and indeed separation distances
between
1107
00:57:35.400 --> 00:57:39.200
the between the drills. Yeah, our
```

```
1108
00:57:38.200 --> 00:57:41.700
concerned with tolerances of settlement that
1109
00:57:41.700 --> 00:57:45.100
are acceptable to network rail. So it's
1110
00:57:44.100 --> 00:57:47.300
the the drawing of
1111
00:57:47.300 --> 00:57:50.400
the lines on the plan and might appear
1112
00:57:50.400 --> 00:57:53.000
broad when when casting Rio for them. But in fact
1113
00:57:53.300 --> 00:57:56.700
the width there is is a specific one that we have established
1114
00:57:56.700 --> 00:57:59.400
through through dialogue with with the relevant
1115
00:57:59.400 --> 00:58:02.200
person, right? Thanks very much. That's very helpful.
1116
00:58:02.200 --> 00:58:04.900
One thing I was going to ask about is
1117
00:58:07.100 --> 00:58:10.300
in the pink areas as I might put them which is
1118
00:58:10.300 --> 00:58:11.000
the main.
1119
00:58:13.600 --> 00:58:17.300
Solar panel sides with the best and whatever you've
1120
00:58:16.300 --> 00:58:19.700
presumably got an allowance for landscaping.
1121
00:58:20.600 --> 00:58:24.400
```

```
Why do you need CA Landscaping?
1122
00:58:23.400 --> 00:58:26.800
Why can't you have a private agreement
1123
00:58:26.800 --> 00:58:29.700
or something a bit less onerous than
1124
00:58:30.600 --> 00:58:31.400
Taking the whole thing.
1125
00:58:33.800 --> 00:58:37.000
Richard Turney for the applicant that
1126
00:58:36.300 --> 00:58:39.300
the starting point is we are seeking private agreements
1127
00:58:39.300 --> 00:58:42.200
for all of for all of that land including the
1128
00:58:42.200 --> 00:58:45.800
landscaping area. So and we hope
1129
00:58:45.800 --> 00:58:48.400
and expect that those would be concluded during the course of this
examination,
1130
00:58:48.400 --> 00:58:52.500
but why not use I
1131
00:58:51.500 --> 00:58:54.100
think sort of other rights to
1132
00:58:54.100 --> 00:58:57.500
secure landscape and I think the the position
1133
00:58:57.500 --> 00:59:00.100
really is that it's appropriate to make sure
1134
00:59:00.100 --> 00:59:03.500
 that the whole of the scheme can be managed in accordance
```

```
1135
00:59:03.500 --> 00:59:07.500
with the proposals. The detail
1136
00:59:06.500 --> 00:59:09.100
of the landscaping's obviously has been
1137
00:59:09.100 --> 00:59:12.700
identified as a high level but they'll
1138
00:59:12.700 --> 00:59:16.000
be detailed design approvals that come after that. So
1139
00:59:15.300 --> 00:59:18.700
the precise fixing of the Landscaping will
1140
00:59:18.700 --> 00:59:21.600
vary the extent of the works required. Yeah will
1141
00:59:21.600 --> 00:59:24.700
vary and it would be effectively premature
1142
00:59:24.700 --> 00:59:27.800
to limit us to some limited right to landscape
1143
00:59:27.800 --> 00:59:30.400
these these this Landscaping goes beyond
1144
00:59:30.400 --> 00:59:32.900
for example maintenance the Head trail or something.
1145
00:59:33.700 --> 00:59:36.100
Substantive landscape proposal shops will come to
1146
00:59:36.100 --> 00:59:39.300
examine tomorrow. Yep. Sure. So it gives
1147
00:59:39.300 --> 00:59:42.200
you the flexibility to move things around a bit if you really need
1148
```

```
00:59:42.200 --> 00:59:45.400
to well, it gives flexibility but not not
1149
00:59:45.400 --> 00:59:48.300
just in in the detailed design which
1150
00:59:48.300 --> 00:59:52.000
obviously is the subject of approvals but also it
1151
00:59:51.700 --> 00:59:54.700
ensures that it can be maintained during the
1152
00:59:54.700 --> 00:59:57.900
the lifetime of the scheme. Yeah without
1153
00:59:57.900 --> 01:00:00.500
any issue about access to land and so
1154
01:00:00.500 --> 01:00:04.100
on. So this isn't a case where it's sort of focused narrow,
1155
01:00:03.100 --> 01:00:06.100
right? It's a substantive change
1156
01:00:06.100 --> 01:00:09.900
to the land to deliver Landscaping to meet
1157
01:00:09.900 --> 01:00:11.100
to me these scheme objectives.
1158
01:00:13.500 --> 01:00:14.600
Thank you.
1159
01:00:17.300 --> 01:00:19.400
Mr. Ford, do you want to make any
1160
01:00:20.500 --> 01:00:22.000
submissions on what you've heard
1161
01:00:23.700 --> 01:00:26.000
No, thank you, sir. Not on the
```

```
1162
01:00:29.600 --> 01:00:30.100
thanks very much.
1163
01:00:31.500 --> 01:00:31.600
1164
01:00:33.300 --> 01:00:37.000
Right, if we just move on to and said
1165
01:00:36.600 --> 01:00:40.300
Jonathan Bauer for DDS LGC
1166
01:00:39.300 --> 01:00:42.600
and I wasn't asked previously
1167
01:00:42.600 --> 01:00:46.300
if I wanted to make any comments just
1168
01:00:45.300 --> 01:00:49.000
to follow on from Mr. Force
1169
01:00:48.600 --> 01:00:51.400
submissions, the the main
1170
01:00:51.400 --> 01:00:54.200
focus from our perspective. I had indicated that
1171
01:00:54.200 --> 01:00:57.400
we'd want to speak and of the majority
1172
01:00:57.400 --> 01:01:00.200
of the agenda items, but it is mainly in relation to
1173
01:01:00.200 --> 01:01:04.300
the alternative position that we may want to make some
1174
01:01:04.300 --> 01:01:07.000
submissions depending on what the applicant has to say.
```

```
01:01:09.300 --> 01:01:12.100
Thank you. That's fine. But you don't want to say anything at this
1176
01:01:12.100 --> 01:01:15.200
No, sir. Thank you. I just wanted to make sure that I
01:01:15.200 --> 01:01:15.800
wasn't forgotten.
1178
01:01:16.800 --> 01:01:19.100
No, no, thank you. Thank you for reminding me.
1179
01:01:20.700 --> 01:01:23.600
Which which items were you do you have do you
1180
01:01:23.600 --> 01:01:26.200
know which items you might want to speak under? You're not quite
sure the stage?
1181
01:01:27.200 --> 01:01:30.500
But Jonathan bowford DDS it very much defenser on obviously what
1182
01:01:30.500 --> 01:01:33.200
the applicant has got to say in their submissions, but
1183
01:01:33.200 --> 01:01:36.600
like Mr. For it the main focus may be just in
1184
01:01:36.600 --> 01:01:39.600
relation to the Alternatives and you'll obviously have had
1185
01:01:39.600 --> 01:01:43.100
sight of the written representations, which have been submitted.
1186
01:01:44.500 --> 01:01:44.800
Thank you.
1187
01:01:47.900 --> 01:01:50.100
right, so if we move on
1188
```

```
01:01:50.100 --> 01:01:50.600
to
1189
01:01:53.800 --> 01:01:54.100
okay.
1190
01:01:55.900 --> 01:01:58.200
Sorry, yeah, Daniel because I'll go
1191
01:01:58.200 --> 01:01:58.300
yes.
1192
01:01:59.300 --> 01:02:01.600
Thank you, sir. Daniel. Kozelco for say no to Sonica.
1193
01:02:02.200 --> 01:02:05.500
I just wanted to make one short point which I'm
1194
01:02:05.500 --> 01:02:08.400
not intending pursue now, but maybe relevant at
1195
01:02:08.400 --> 01:02:11.300
the next hearing on the cah, and they
1196
01:02:11.300 --> 01:02:14.600
also be a relevance to any further
1197
01:02:14.600 --> 01:02:17.500
discussions are hearings on the draft DCA.
1198
01:02:18.500 --> 01:02:21.500
In the submissions have
1199
01:02:21.500 --> 01:02:24.700
just been made the issue of Maintenance was raised
1200
01:02:24.700 --> 01:02:27.100
and as the examining Authority will be aware
1201
01:02:27.100 --> 01:02:30.600
various parties. Most notably the parish.
```

```
1202
01:02:30.600 --> 01:02:34.100
Sorry the district and County councils are
1203
01:02:33.100 --> 01:02:36.900
taking a point about the width of Maintenance and
1204
01:02:36.900 --> 01:02:40.000
the extent to which maintenance includes replacement
1205
01:02:39.300 --> 01:02:42.500
alteration Etc. I just
1206
01:02:42.500 --> 01:02:45.500
 want to flag up with Stage but there's always an interaction
between these
1207
01:02:45.500 --> 01:02:48.900
temporary possession provisions and
1208
01:02:48.900 --> 01:02:51.800
that provision and the width
1209
01:02:51.800 --> 01:02:55.300
of the definition of Maintenance in the
1210
01:02:54.300 --> 01:02:57.400
draft Eco may may make
1211
01:02:57.400 --> 01:03:00.200
the extent of the powers being sought as
1212
01:03:00.200 --> 01:03:04.800
part of the temporary possession temporary possession
1213
01:03:04.800 --> 01:03:07.300
Provisions, rather extensive. I'm
1214
01:03:07.300 --> 01:03:10.400
not making submissions one way or another this point on that
```

```
1215
01:03:10.400 --> 01:03:13.300
but maybe the individual parties want to make a point of
1216
01:03:13.300 --> 01:03:16.300
the next hearing all the county or District councils or
1217
01:03:16.300 --> 01:03:18.200
indeeders want to make a point when the draft
1218
01:03:18.500 --> 01:03:19.400
Is considered further?
1219
01:03:20.600 --> 01:03:23.100
Thank you. Anything the applicant would want to
1220
01:03:23.100 --> 01:03:23.900
say in response?
1221
01:03:24.700 --> 01:03:27.200
Rich turning for the applicant. I think it probably is
1222
01:03:27.200 --> 01:03:30.500
more important for the DCA than for compulsory
1223
01:03:30.500 --> 01:03:33.300
acquisition. But just to note that it's important to
1224
01:03:33.300 --> 01:03:36.900
recall that the temporary possession powers
1225
01:03:36.900 --> 01:03:39.900
 are in respective the maintenance
1226
01:03:39.900 --> 01:03:43.100
period the defined maintenance period so
1227
01:03:42.100 --> 01:03:45.300
whilst there are which is five years.
1228
01:03:45.300 --> 01:03:49.200
```

```
So whilst there are longer term rights to
1229
01:03:48.200 --> 01:03:51.500
maintain the apparatus and
1230
01:03:51.500 --> 01:03:55.500
that would bring in the definition of maintain the Mysterio's
referring
1231
01:03:54.500 --> 01:03:58.200
to the provisions in
1232
01:03:58.200 --> 01:04:03.900
respect of temporary possession of focused on that that maintenance
1233
01:04:02.900 --> 01:04:05.300
period yeah. Thank you.
1234
01:04:07.200 --> 01:04:10.600
Thank you. Both. Let's anybody else.
01:04:10.600 --> 01:04:11.100
Sorry.
1236
01:04:12.300 --> 01:04:14.200
I'm not missing anybody else. That's fine. Good.
1237
01:04:14.900 --> 01:04:16.600
we move on to
1238
01:04:18.800 --> 01:04:19.900
add some four.
1239
01:04:22.600 --> 01:04:22.900
and
1240
01:04:25.900 --> 01:04:26.700
I have down.
1241
01:04:28.200 --> 01:04:32.200
Miss asabella, Isabella to four and Samuel
```

```
1242
01:04:31.200 --> 01:04:34.700
lobs who would want to speak under this item.
1243
01:04:34.700 --> 01:04:35.100
Is that correct?
1244
01:04:35.800 --> 01:04:38.200
Samuel knob some family. Yes,
1245
01:04:38.200 --> 01:04:39.500
that's correct. Thank you.
1246
01:04:40.500 --> 01:04:43.600
I'm also from my perspective side. That's all developer for
1247
01:04:43.600 --> 01:04:45.200
performance property unit Trust.
1248
01:04:46.800 --> 01:04:47.100
Thank you.
1249
01:04:51.200 --> 01:04:54.800
Sir, Jonathan Bauer for DDS. We we
1250
01:04:54.800 --> 01:04:58.400
had submitted a list of the matters that we wish to speak against
1251
01:04:58.400 --> 01:05:02.000
it maybe that you don't have sight of that because for each
1252
01:05:01.100 --> 01:05:04.200
occasion, you've not seemingly had
1253
01:05:04.200 --> 01:05:07.400
notification that of the items we wanted to speak about. But
1254
01:05:07.400 --> 01:05:11.400
certainly this is one that we submitted when we completed
```

```
01:05:11.400 --> 01:05:14.100
 the questionnaire that we wanted to make some submissions on.
1256
01:05:18.900 --> 01:05:21.800
Can you remind me
1257
01:05:21.800 --> 01:05:24.700
which items you did want to make submissions on
1258
01:05:24.700 --> 01:05:27.800
please? Jonathan baffod
1259
01:05:27.800 --> 01:05:30.500
DDS and LGC. So in the completed questionnaire, we
1260
01:05:30.500 --> 01:05:32.500
said items want to six and 10.
1261
01:05:37.500 --> 01:05:41.000
And that was based on the draft agenda.
1262
01:05:40.600 --> 01:05:42.000
It was yes.
1263
01:05:43.300 --> 01:05:46.600
So item one would include the item. We're just closing
1264
01:05:46.600 --> 01:05:47.600
down just now. Yes.
1265
01:05:48.500 --> 01:05:51.900
yes, but as I've said that was pending
1266
01:05:51.900 --> 01:05:54.600
waiting to hear what the applicant has to say, so
1267
01:05:56.900 --> 01:05:59.600
Certainly on the next agenda item depending
1268
01:05:59.600 --> 01:06:02.600
on what the applicants got say. We might want to say something.
```

```
01:06:04.100 --> 01:06:07.300
Thank you very much. Feel free to switch a camera on and
1270
01:06:07.300 --> 01:06:09.100
make yourself known. Thank you very much.
1271
01:06:12.700 --> 01:06:12.800
so
1272
01:06:16.700 --> 01:06:18.900
just make a quick note.
1273
01:06:26.700 --> 01:06:29.200
Thank you
1274
01:06:29.200 --> 01:06:29.300
very much.
1275
01:06:30.300 --> 01:06:31.800
so on this item
1276
01:06:34.400 --> 01:06:35.300
I'm wanting to look at.
1277
01:06:36.100 --> 01:06:39.300
Alternatives and design flexibility which we've referred to
1278
01:06:39.300 --> 01:06:40.900
somewhat already.
1279
01:06:42.100 --> 01:06:45.500
and if there's anything further the applicant would like to say
1280
01:06:46.800 --> 01:06:49.700
on the approach that's been taken to the various components.
1281
01:06:51.300 --> 01:06:54.400
In explaining the need to acquire the land and right sort for
1282
```

```
01:06:54.400 --> 01:06:56.300
the solar panels and battery storage.
1283
01:06:57.400 --> 01:06:58.600
the cable alignments
1284
01:07:00.100 --> 01:07:03.700
each of the substations and the National Grid
1285
01:07:03.700 --> 01:07:04.800
connection substation
1286
01:07:06.300 --> 01:07:09.400
Which at the moment shown on the agenda because that's where
1287
01:07:09.400 --> 01:07:12.100
we still are including the need for land and
1288
01:07:12.100 --> 01:07:16.800
rights and respect of both options to and three and with
1289
01:07:15.800 --> 01:07:18.500
reference to any other projects with
1290
01:07:18.500 --> 01:07:20.200
agreements to connect at Burwell.
1291
01:07:21.500 --> 01:07:24.500
So if there's anything you'd like to put now
1292
01:07:24.500 --> 01:07:26.500
in relation to those matters.
1293
01:07:27.600 --> 01:07:30.800
Please so Richard
1294
01:07:30.800 --> 01:07:33.300
Turney for the applicant. I think probably we
1295
01:07:33.300 --> 01:07:34.900
can take this sort of Fairly high level.
```

```
1296
01:07:36.100 --> 01:07:39.800
The starting point for Alternatives analysis
1297
01:07:39.800 --> 01:07:43.100
is in fact the statements of need which obviously
1298
01:07:42.100 --> 01:07:46.200
explains that the scale
1299
01:07:45.200 --> 01:07:49.300
of the need nationally for
1300
01:07:48.300 --> 01:07:52.700
Renewables and including large-scale solar
1301
01:07:52.700 --> 01:07:56.000
which informs how the approach
1302
01:07:55.200 --> 01:07:58.300
to consideration of Alternatives has been
01:07:58.300 --> 01:07:58.700
carried out.
1304
01:07:59.700 --> 01:08:02.200
And I won't go through
1305
01:08:02.200 --> 01:08:06.500
the detail of that. But I just wanted to note
1306
01:08:05.500 --> 01:08:08.600
in respect of
1307
01:08:08.600 --> 01:08:11.500
the scale of the scheme that this
1308
01:08:11.500 --> 01:08:15.400
is a there's a 500 megawatt
```

```
01:08:14.400 --> 01:08:17.900
grid connection available and
1310
01:08:17.900 --> 01:08:20.900
able to be taken up for a
1311
01:08:20.900 --> 01:08:24.800
large-scale service scheme in this substation location
1312
01:08:24.800 --> 01:08:26.400
and
1313
01:08:28.200 --> 01:08:31.600
As a result of that there is
1314
01:08:31.600 --> 01:08:35.000
a need for land to
1315
01:08:34.700 --> 01:08:38.200
host the development
1316
01:08:37.200 --> 01:08:40.800
of the solar farm and the
1317
01:08:40.800 --> 01:08:43.500
associated development by way of
1318
01:08:43.500 --> 01:08:47.700
battery storage. We note
1319
01:08:46.700 --> 01:08:50.100
that there are there's
1320
01:08:49.100 --> 01:08:54.400
an indication in draft en3
1321
01:08:52.400 --> 01:08:56.100
the draft revised en3
1322
01:08:55.100 --> 01:08:59.400
as to the scale of land
```

```
1323
01:08:59.400 --> 01:09:02.500
or the extent of land required for a
1324
01:09:02.500 --> 01:09:05.200
solar farm describing. The need is being
1325
01:09:05.200 --> 01:09:08.600
two to four acres for each megawatt of
1326
01:09:08.600 --> 01:09:08.900
output.
1327
01:09:09.800 --> 01:09:12.600
And that's that's point
1328
01:09:12.600 --> 01:09:15.900
eight to 1.6 hectares for
1329
01:09:15.900 --> 01:09:19.000
each megawatt of output. And when
01:09:18.200 --> 01:09:21.900
you look at the scale of developable area
1331
01:09:21.900 --> 01:09:24.900
for our scheme, we're looking
1332
01:09:24.900 --> 01:09:28.400
at about one hectare per
1333
01:09:27.400 --> 01:09:30.500
megawatt So within that range
1334
01:09:30.500 --> 01:09:33.700
up to about 1.6 hectares per
1335
01:09:33.700 --> 01:09:36.000
megawatt, if one includes the areas that are not
```

```
01:09:36.200 --> 01:09:37.300
being developed.
1337
01:09:38.300 --> 01:09:42.100
And so in respective of scale that leads
1338
01:09:41.100 --> 01:09:45.200
to land requirement of the
1339
01:09:44.200 --> 01:09:47.800
sort of size that we
1340
01:09:47.800 --> 01:09:50.800
have identified through the
1341
01:09:50.800 --> 01:09:51.300
application.
1342
01:09:52.400 --> 01:09:56.600
And then we come on to the question of site selection
1343
01:09:56.600 --> 01:09:59.500
again, we've made
1344
01:09:59.500 --> 01:10:02.400
submissions on that already. I didn't propose to go into
1345
01:10:02.400 --> 01:10:06.700
that unless it would assist to do so, but we
1346
01:10:05.700 --> 01:10:09.000
know in particular the alternative sites
1347
01:10:08.300 --> 01:10:11.700
assessment app zero five four,
1348
01:10:11.700 --> 01:10:15.600
and of course the es
1349
01:10:14.600 --> 01:10:17.300
chapter 4
```

```
1350
01:10:18.600 --> 01:10:20.200
on alternatives
1351
01:10:23.700 --> 01:10:26.700
I don't proposed to touch on alternative Technologies.
1352
01:10:26.700 --> 01:10:30.200
Again, we described that in respect
1353
01:10:29.200 --> 01:10:34.200
of cable routes
1354
01:10:33.200 --> 01:10:37.200
then which I think is probably the issue
1355
01:10:36.200 --> 01:10:41.000
on on which some people have an interest. We've
1356
01:10:39.100 --> 01:10:45.500
explained the alternative
01:10:42.500 --> 01:10:45.800
cable
1358
01:10:45.800 --> 01:10:49.200
route options that were considered at pre-scaping stage
1359
01:10:48.200 --> 01:10:51.200
and there's a high level of
1360
01:10:51.200 --> 01:10:55.400
evaluation of those three General options in
1361
01:10:54.400 --> 01:10:58.200
table 4.4 of es
1362
01:10:57.200 --> 01:10:58.800
chapter 4
```

```
01:10:59.800 --> 01:11:03.800
Which explains why option one was selected
1364
01:11:02.800 --> 01:11:05.300
and then there's some description of
1365
01:11:05.300 --> 01:11:08.400
the root refinements for the cable route Corridor. So
1366
01:11:08.400 --> 01:11:11.600
that's how our case on Alternatives is broken down.
1367
01:11:11.600 --> 01:11:14.200
And I don't know so would it assist for me
1368
01:11:14.200 --> 01:11:17.500
to go into more detail and any of those aspects? No, I think that's
fine. I
1369
01:11:17.500 --> 01:11:21.500
was thinking with respect to your comments about technology for
1370
01:11:20.500 --> 01:11:23.200
developments because things are
1371
01:11:23.200 --> 01:11:26.900
moving at some Pace presuming that's likely
1372
01:11:26.900 --> 01:11:27.500
to mean.
1373
01:11:28.500 --> 01:11:31.300
More in the solar panel
1374
01:11:31.300 --> 01:11:34.200
and battery storage areas and it is in
1375
01:11:34.200 --> 01:11:37.400
the cabling I would imagine the cabling is unlikely to
1376
01:11:37.400 --> 01:11:40.400
```

```
get dramatically smaller and it wouldn't make much difference
1377
01:11:40.400 --> 01:11:43.600
to what you need. Anyway, whereas in the area of
1378
01:11:43.600 --> 01:11:46.200
solar panel and battery storage.
1379
01:11:47.100 --> 01:11:50.200
Developments and Technology, you might mean that you don't need as
much
1380
01:11:50.200 --> 01:11:50.500
space.
1381
01:11:51.500 --> 01:11:54.000
By the time you get to Constructors you think you might need now?
1382
01:11:55.300 --> 01:11:58.200
Well, so I think Rich turning for
1383
01:11:58.200 --> 01:11:59.000
the applicant. I think it's
1384
01:12:00.500 --> 01:12:03.900
It's it's difficult to say that that
1385
01:12:03.900 --> 01:12:06.200
certainly respects the cable Corridor would agree
1386
01:12:06.200 --> 01:12:09.300
with your observation with identified the way in
1387
01:12:09.300 --> 01:12:12.600
which this cable would be constructed and and thought about
1388
01:12:12.600 --> 01:12:15.300
that in some detail and we consider that the extent of
1389
01:12:15.300 --> 01:12:18.200
the right required for that is
```

```
1390
01:12:18.200 --> 01:12:21.100
appropriate in respect of the
1391
01:12:21.100 --> 01:12:24.100
land within the within the pink
1392
01:12:24.100 --> 01:12:27.400
land. I think there's various factors that would probably draw your
1393
01:12:27.400 --> 01:12:30.500
attention to first of all on battery
1394
01:12:30.500 --> 01:12:33.600
 storage and we'll come on to this I think on Thursday
1395
01:12:33.600 --> 01:12:36.300
and ish3. Yeah, but there's obviously
1396
01:12:36.300 --> 01:12:40.400
issues being raised about battery safety with explained
01:12:39.400 --> 01:12:43.800
to some extent possible layouts
1398
01:12:43.800 --> 01:12:46.600
and the effects the safety requirements
1399
01:12:46.600 --> 01:12:49.400
and how they may affect layout in
1400
01:12:49.400 --> 01:12:52.400
our outline battery Fire Safety Management plan,
1401
01:12:52.400 --> 01:12:55.700
which will doubtless discuss on Thursday. And one
1402
01:12:55.700 --> 01:12:58.400
of the issues there is about the separation distances that
1403
```

```
01:12:58.400 --> 01:13:00.300
might be required the land that might be
1404
01:13:00.500 --> 01:13:03.800
round battery storage, so
1405
01:13:05.400 --> 01:13:08.700
Development of Technology doesn't necessarily mean in that
1406
01:13:08.700 --> 01:13:11.700
instance less land required. Yeah, in
1407
01:13:11.700 --> 01:13:14.700
terms of solar panel. There are
1408
01:13:14.700 --> 01:13:17.400
of course efficiencies in
1409
01:13:17.400 --> 01:13:20.100
in modern solar panel design, but I think
1410
01:13:20.100 --> 01:13:23.200
the point that we'd want to emphasize is that there are
1411
01:13:23.200 --> 01:13:26.900
various places in this scheme already where we're looking at
constraining
1412
01:13:26.900 --> 01:13:30.300
the solar panel area and obviously Mr.
1413
01:13:30.300 --> 01:13:33.900
Keen explained at the outset the three sets of
1414
01:13:33.900 --> 01:13:36.300
proposals which would do
1415
01:13:36.300 --> 01:13:40.200
so in respect of potential changes
1416
01:13:39.200 --> 01:13:40.900
```

```
to the application.
1417
01:13:41.800 --> 01:13:43.000
And so
1418
01:13:43.900 --> 01:13:46.500
we need to be mindful in that respect of
1419
01:13:46.500 --> 01:13:49.400
the making best use of the 500 megawatt connection
1420
01:13:49.400 --> 01:13:52.200
and ensuring that whilst we
1421
01:13:52.200 --> 01:13:55.900
design a scheme which is environmentally acceptable and
1422
01:13:55.900 --> 01:13:58.800
meets the planning tests that the panel
1423
01:13:58.800 --> 01:14:01.200
and the Secretary of
1424
01:14:01.200 --> 01:14:04.400
State and due course will be applying mmm. We need to ensure that
we're still
1425
01:14:04.400 --> 01:14:07.600
making good use of that connection. So I think
1426
01:14:07.600 --> 01:14:10.300
the message we would communicate is it can't be
1427
01:14:10.300 --> 01:14:14.200
assumed there's that there's a future Headroom necessarily
1428
01:14:13.200 --> 01:14:17.100
 that can be taken up by better technology.
1429
01:14:19.800 --> 01:14:22.900
I hope that answers the question. Yes. Thank you. That's helpful.
```

```
1430
01:14:24.600 --> 01:14:27.300
I was just thinking in particularly in relation to the
1431
01:14:28.900 --> 01:14:30.200
substations
1432
01:14:31.600 --> 01:14:34.900
in your response to our written
1433
01:14:34.900 --> 01:14:36.500
question 1.3.5.
1434
01:14:38.100 --> 01:14:41.200
You say that negotiations for a lease of land with
1435
01:14:41.200 --> 01:14:45.500
and get National Grid electricity transmission ceased
1436
01:14:44.500 --> 01:14:48.100
on the 10th of March 2022 when
1437
01:14:47.100 --> 01:14:50.100
the applicant was informed that option one
1438
01:14:50.100 --> 01:14:53.400
was no longer available to it because we've just been talking about
ocean one.
1439
01:14:53.400 --> 01:14:56.100
Does this mean that no lease of land
1440
01:14:56.100 --> 01:14:57.600
is required for option two
1441
01:15:01.200 --> 01:15:04.200
and so this is a rich take the
1442
01:15:04.200 --> 01:15:04.600
applicant and
```

```
1443
01:15:05.400 --> 01:15:08.200
This is the negotiations with National Grid are in
1444
01:15:08.200 --> 01:15:14.000
respect of the works at Burwell the as
1445
01:15:12.400 --> 01:15:16.400
we've identified option three
1446
01:15:16.400 --> 01:15:19.300
was introduced by the change and we're now proposing to
1447
01:15:19.300 --> 01:15:22.900
drop option two. Yeah, the arrangements
1448
01:15:22.900 --> 01:15:27.700
then are that the the
1449
01:15:25.700 --> 01:15:28.300
 scheme will
1450
01:15:28.300 --> 01:15:32.900
operate through the 400 kilovolt
1451
01:15:31.900 --> 01:15:34.400
connection between the
1452
01:15:34.400 --> 01:15:37.400
grid substation and the
1453
01:15:37.400 --> 01:15:40.600
 scheme substations. That means
1454
01:15:40.600 --> 01:15:43.700
the that there's no need for the
1455
01:15:43.700 --> 01:15:46.500
skeet for a scheme substation to be
1456
01:15:46.500 --> 01:15:49.600
```

```
constructed at Burwell. So we're
1457
01:15:49.600 --> 01:15:53.600
connecting in to a modified National
1458
01:15:52.600 --> 01:15:56.200
Grid substation. And that
1459
01:15:55.200 --> 01:15:59.300
means that we don't need to enter into Arrangements either
1460
01:15:58.300 --> 01:16:01.800
for the acquisition of land outside
1461
01:16:01.800 --> 01:16:04.300
of the substation site for the construction of our
01:16:04.300 --> 01:16:05.000
own substation.
1463
01:16:05.400 --> 01:16:08.100
nor for a lease of
1464
01:16:08.100 --> 01:16:09.400
land Within
1465
01:16:10.200 --> 01:16:13.400
National Grids substation site to allow
1466
01:16:13.400 --> 01:16:16.500
scheme substation to be constructed. So that's
1467
01:16:16.500 --> 01:16:19.200
that's why that area has been overtaken by
1468
01:16:19.200 --> 01:16:22.800
events. But the change that we've made means that there is
1469
01:16:22.800 --> 01:16:25.300
```

no scheme substation at Burwell. There

```
1470
01:16:25.300 --> 01:16:28.600
are Works to National Grid substation, but those are
1471
01:16:28.600 --> 01:16:31.800
carried out without the need for a lease of National Grid
1472
01:16:31.800 --> 01:16:34.200
land. So yes, so you're only needed that
1473
01:16:34.200 --> 01:16:37.100
lease of land for option one because you've actually got a block of
1474
01:16:37.100 --> 01:16:40.900
substation on that precisely. So option one included a National
1475
01:16:40.900 --> 01:16:43.900
Grid substation within National. Sorry
1476
01:16:43.900 --> 01:16:47.200
 a scheme substation within National Grids substation
1477
01:16:46.200 --> 01:16:50.300
land that Burwell because there's
1478
01:16:49.300 --> 01:16:52.800
constraints at Burwell for National
1479
01:16:52.800 --> 01:16:55.200
Grid from connecting other schemes and various works
1480
01:16:55.200 --> 01:16:58.600
 that they're carrying out there. That was no longer available to
us. So
1481
01:16:58.600 --> 01:17:01.500
we were left with option two all and then option three
1482
01:17:01.500 --> 01:17:04.200
and obviously now that we've confirmed the
1483
```

```
01:17:04.200 --> 01:17:07.600
technical feasibility of option three in compulsory out
1484
01:17:07.600 --> 01:17:09.700
position terms that is better in the
1485
01:17:10.200 --> 01:17:13.200
it requires less land. Yes. You don't
1486
01:17:13.200 --> 01:17:16.800
require a scheme substation at Burwell
1487
01:17:16.800 --> 01:17:20.200
out outside the National Grid substation site.
1488
01:17:19.200 --> 01:17:22.200
Yes. Well that's in many ways. That's
1489
01:17:22.200 --> 01:17:25.500
very much the guts of this item is you've got an alternative that
01:17:25.500 --> 01:17:26.600
doesn't require it.
1491
01:17:30.700 --> 01:17:34.100
The king thank you Mr. Mr. Rigby.
1492
01:17:33.100 --> 01:17:35.900
Just following on from that.
1493
01:17:37.700 --> 01:17:40.300
I think I'm apologize. I can't
1494
01:17:40.300 --> 01:17:43.300
see it immediately on the Note. I've got no time made
1495
01:17:43.300 --> 01:17:46.300
 about the document the schedule of negotiations that
1496
01:17:46.300 --> 01:17:49.400
which I think was put in was revised schedule of
```

```
1497
01:17:49.400 --> 01:17:53.800
negotiations that submission deadline D2
1498
01:17:52.800 --> 01:17:55.900
or maybe
1499
01:17:55.900 --> 01:17:58.500
completely wrong. I thought it mentioned a National Grid as
1500
01:17:58.500 --> 01:18:02.100
one of those parties but
1501
01:18:01.100 --> 01:18:04.200
perhaps it was elsewhere. I read
1502
01:18:04.200 --> 01:18:08.500
that we're at step two in the in
1503
01:18:07.500 --> 01:18:12.000
the negotiations for for
01:18:10.300 --> 01:18:15.200
the necessary easement. Is
1505
01:18:13.200 --> 01:18:16.300
that still the case? And can
1506
01:18:16.300 --> 01:18:20.000
you just explain what the stages are and where you are exactly,
1507
01:18:19.000 --> 01:18:20.500
please?
1508
01:18:22.200 --> 01:18:25.800
We set Richard turning for the outcome. We we do still
1509
01:18:25.800 --> 01:18:29.800
need an easement for the
1510
```

```
01:18:29.800 --> 01:18:33.200
connecting into the National Grid substation in terms
1511
01:18:32.200 --> 01:18:35.300
of the steps. I don't
1512
01:18:35.300 --> 01:18:35.800
know if that's something that
1513
01:18:37.100 --> 01:18:41.000
I'm gonna hand over to Mr. Murray. He'll be able to explain those
steps at Luke
1514
01:18:40.400 --> 01:18:43.800
Murray for the applicant in terms
1515
01:18:43.800 --> 01:18:46.200
of the steps. And I think
1516
01:18:46.200 --> 01:18:50.300
the first step that you make to National Grid is an application
1517
01:18:49.300 --> 01:18:52.500
and you supply various documents.
1518
01:18:53.200 --> 01:18:56.600
and the Second Step National Grid
1519
01:18:57.500 --> 01:19:00.100
produce a report your review.
1520
01:19:01.300 --> 01:19:04.100
And then on on the third
1521
01:19:04.100 --> 01:19:05.100
step.
1522
01:19:05.900 --> 01:19:08.400
I think you review the
1523
01:19:08.400 --> 01:19:09.200
```

```
reports together.
1524
01:19:10.200 --> 01:19:10.400
and
1525
01:19:12.300 --> 01:19:15.600
you pay a fee but to start this process which we've paid
1526
01:19:15.600 --> 01:19:18.300
and then you look to
1527
01:19:18.300 --> 01:19:20.400
I think.
1528
01:19:21.100 --> 01:19:24.700
agree and an engineering solution together with
01:19:24.700 --> 01:19:25.600
National Grid
1530
01:19:26.600 --> 01:19:29.700
and as the fourth step and on
1531
01:19:29.700 --> 01:19:34.100
the fifth step you agree the property
1532
01:19:32.100 --> 01:19:35.500
agreements. So you negotiate
1533
01:19:35.500 --> 01:19:37.400
I believe they're those five steps.
1534
01:19:40.600 --> 01:19:43.100
Okay, so do I take it you've moved
1535
01:19:43.100 --> 01:19:47.700
on then from step two in that you've you mentioned
1536
01:19:46.700 --> 01:19:49.700
you've paid the fee that seem
```

```
1537
01:19:49.700 --> 01:19:52.300
to be step three you pay the fear the outset.
1538
01:19:52.300 --> 01:19:54.600
Oh, I see and with the application documents.
1539
01:19:55.300 --> 01:19:56.300
that then accepted
1540
01:19:57.300 --> 01:19:58.200
then you get a report.
1541
01:19:59.300 --> 01:20:02.500
Then I think you provide a further solution.
1542
01:20:02.500 --> 01:20:05.800
Then you agree an engineering
1543
01:20:05.800 --> 01:20:09.000
 solution with National Grid and then you agree
1544
01:20:08.000 --> 01:20:09.100
the
1545
01:20:10.100 --> 01:20:13.300
Formal agreements the legal agreements right? I think those are
1546
01:20:13.300 --> 01:20:15.700
the five steps and what sort of time scales we talking.
1547
01:20:19.500 --> 01:20:22.500
There is a table on the National Grids and
1548
01:20:22.500 --> 01:20:25.400
website that sets out the time scales. I haven't
1549
01:20:25.400 --> 01:20:28.200
got it in memory, but it's along the
1550
01:20:28.200 --> 01:20:31.000
```

```
lines of six to 12 months and to end.
1551
01:20:33.800 --> 01:20:36.700
Thank you. That's helpful. You presumably
1552
01:20:36.700 --> 01:20:39.600
you'll be able to find an update at the next tutorial iteration
1553
01:20:39.600 --> 01:20:42.900
of the schedule of negotiations or whichever
1554
01:20:42.900 --> 01:20:46.700
relevant document it is that you've described which I'll
1555
01:20:46.700 --> 01:20:50.000
go for the lack f***** memory off. If it's
1556
01:20:49.300 --> 01:20:52.200
not in the scheduled negotiations, I think
1557
01:20:52.200 --> 01:20:55.300
it is in and other document where you've mentioned
1558
01:20:55.300 --> 01:20:58.200
those stages Richard anything for
1559
01:20:58.200 --> 01:21:01.800
the applicant it is it is there is in you're in the right
documentation of
1560
01:21:01.800 --> 01:21:05.000
negotiations and the latest iteration
1561
01:21:04.600 --> 01:21:05.900
of it.
1562
01:21:06.600 --> 01:21:08.000
I think just to add.
1563
01:21:09.400 --> 01:21:13.600
for context that we are also settling
```

```
1564
01:21:13.600 --> 01:21:17.000
protective Provisions with National Grid and so
1565
01:21:17.900 --> 01:21:21.000
This process the technical and
1566
01:21:20.400 --> 01:21:23.700
legal process of finalizing an agreement
1567
01:21:23.700 --> 01:21:27.000
with national grid for what we're doing within their land holding.
1568
01:21:29.300 --> 01:21:32.500
Need not be completed before the end of the examination
1569
01:21:32.500 --> 01:21:35.200
and the making of the order because the protective Provisions will
1570
01:21:35.200 --> 01:21:38.500
ensure that it can be completed thereafter. So
01:21:38.500 --> 01:21:41.500
that's the that's the approach we're taking there's
1572
01:21:41.500 --> 01:21:44.600
a sort of twin tracking of that of that technical process with the
1573
01:21:44.600 --> 01:21:47.700
projected Provisions in a sense of Provisions are
01:21:47.700 --> 01:21:48.300
a fallback.
1575
01:21:48.900 --> 01:21:49.600
to quarantee
1576
01:21:50.700 --> 01:21:53.500
well to guarantee what exactly in the
```

```
01:21:53.500 --> 01:21:57.200
event of failure to agree with microphone.
1578
01:21:58.100 --> 01:22:01.900
Sorry done that again. So in a sense
1579
01:22:01.900 --> 01:22:03.700
I was looking that is a sort of a fallback.
1580
01:22:05.100 --> 01:22:10.700
That the the protective Provisions will be a fallback. But
1581
01:22:08.700 --> 01:22:11.100
and then
1582
01:22:11.100 --> 01:22:14.400
I'm wondering a fullback for what exactly should should the
1583
01:22:14.400 --> 01:22:15.700
easement.
1584
01:22:16.400 --> 01:22:20.100
Negotiations not progressed to fruition but
1585
01:22:19.100 --> 01:22:22.200
severaged any for the applicant. It's to ensure
1586
01:22:22.200 --> 01:22:26.100
that the powers in the order are constrained in
1587
01:22:25.100 --> 01:22:28.700
a way that is National Grid satisfaction
1588
01:22:28.700 --> 01:22:31.300
so that they know that we
1589
01:22:31.300 --> 01:22:35.700
can't simply come on to their land and take take
1590
01:22:35.700 --> 01:22:38.300
 the powers that we might do elsewhere. But instead
```

```
01:22:38.300 --> 01:22:39.800
we have to agree with them.
1592
01:22:40.700 --> 01:22:43.300
A process which mimics or mirrors
1593
01:22:43.300 --> 01:22:46.500
this process and almost inevitably leads to a separate
1594
01:22:46.500 --> 01:22:49.300
agreement being concluded not having and in
1595
01:22:49.300 --> 01:22:52.300
those circumstances not having to rely on all the powers at all. So
so
1596
01:22:52.300 --> 01:22:55.400
in essence you won't be able to you won't
1597
01:22:55.400 --> 01:22:57.500
be able to commence until you do get that.
1598
01:22:59.800 --> 01:23:02.600
It in place when you it's the
1599
01:23:02.600 --> 01:23:05.700
I think I think with respect to
1600
01:23:05.700 --> 01:23:08.100
other protective Provisions as well.
1601
01:23:09.600 --> 01:23:12.600
That there are probably other instances this too.
1602
01:23:12.600 --> 01:23:15.300
We would expect an agreement to
1603
01:23:15.300 --> 01:23:18.800
be reached with National Grid so that we were whatever works
```

```
1604
01:23:18.800 --> 01:23:21.700
were being carried out would be under that agreement.
1605
01:23:22.500 --> 01:23:25.400
The protective Provisions ensure that the order powers
1606
01:23:25.400 --> 01:23:30.600
are not exercised in a way which is of
1607
01:23:28.600 --> 01:23:31.700
more detriment
1608
01:23:31.700 --> 01:23:34.100
to National. Grid than would be
1609
01:23:34.100 --> 01:23:38.000
the case if we had gone through that whole process
1610
01:23:37.100 --> 01:23:38.700
of negotiation.
1611
01:23:42.400 --> 01:23:43.200
Thank you for that.
1612
01:23:44.300 --> 01:23:47.800
Thank you, Mr. Keane. Is there
1613
01:23:47.800 --> 01:23:49.200
anything else Staffing wants to say?
1614
01:23:50.300 --> 01:23:51.300
speaking to item 4
1615
01:23:56.100 --> 01:23:59.500
so I think Richard turning
1616
01:23:59.500 --> 01:24:02.900
for the Applicant I think I think the only point is probably on item
1617
01:24:02.900 --> 01:24:03.200
```

```
for
1618
01:24:04.200 --> 01:24:07.800
to provide an update on the issues that arrays
1619
01:24:07.800 --> 01:24:11.600
by H put and
1620
01:24:10.600 --> 01:24:13.100
by
1621
01:24:14.600 --> 01:24:17.900
LGC and DDS, so this
1622
01:24:17.900 --> 01:24:20.400
is Mr. For and Mr. Bowers
1623
01:24:20.400 --> 01:24:23.400
 clients. I don't know if it's worth me saying something
1624
01:24:23.400 --> 01:24:24.500
first. It may be.
1625
01:24:26.100 --> 01:24:29.900
I think that their concerns relate to the cable alignment
1626
01:24:29.900 --> 01:24:32.600
point so alternatives for cable
1627
01:24:32.600 --> 01:24:35.100
alignment and would you
1628
01:24:35.100 --> 01:24:38.500
like to make some missions now and then I can hear them and if
1629
01:24:38.500 --> 01:24:41.000
there's anything that's not clear then we can whatever at the
1630
01:24:41.900 --> 01:24:44.200
end whatever's whatever's best views. I mean it might help if I
just briefly say
```

```
1631
01:24:44.200 --> 01:24:47.400
that so that the examining Authority is
1632
01:24:47.400 --> 01:24:50.700
reassured we've been in correspondence with
1633
01:24:50.700 --> 01:24:53.500
the advisors to both those
1634
01:24:53.500 --> 01:24:57.200
parties the Freeholder and the occupiers and
1635
01:24:56.200 --> 01:24:59.700
we're that they
1636
01:24:59.700 --> 01:25:02.000
have proposed and we're we're very willing to
1637
01:25:02.600 --> 01:25:05.500
negotiate protective Provisions in respect of this site.
01:25:05.500 --> 01:25:08.600
We recognize that there's some sensitivities at
1639
01:25:08.600 --> 01:25:12.300
the site and that we're working in proximity
1640
01:25:11.300 --> 01:25:15.500
to that site. So we're
1641
01:25:15.500 --> 01:25:19.200
we're in receipt of a set of protective Provisions which
1642
01:25:18.200 --> 01:25:22.200
H puts solicitors of
1643
01:25:22.200 --> 01:25:25.300
kindly prepared. We are considering those and
```

```
01:25:26.200 --> 01:25:29.500
hopefully we'll be able to resolve matters through that route.
1645
01:25:29.500 --> 01:25:33.400
So maybe if I just say that and then Mr. For
1646
01:25:33.400 --> 01:25:36.200
and Mr. Bow can say what they need to on the issue.
1647
01:25:40.800 --> 01:25:44.500
Thank you. So could
1648
01:25:43.500 --> 01:25:45.300
Ι
1649
01:25:46.500 --> 01:25:48.600
Hear Isabella Zafar if you want to make any.
1650
01:25:49.300 --> 01:25:50.900
submissions place
1651
01:25:51.700 --> 01:25:54.400
Thank you, sir, Isabella on behalf of
1652
01:25:54.400 --> 01:25:56.200
having property unit Trust.
1653
01:25:56.800 --> 01:25:59.500
So just briefly to introduce my
1654
01:25:59.500 --> 01:26:03.000
clients and as you'll be aware the Freehold
1655
01:26:02.500 --> 01:26:05.200
owners of the campus site which
1656
01:26:05.200 --> 01:26:07.500
lies to the east of New Market Road.
1657
01:26:09.100 --> 01:26:12.000
As shown on sheet 16 of the land plans.
```

```
1658
01:26:13.100 --> 01:26:17.300
And in Figure 1 of our written representation, which
1659
01:26:16.300 --> 01:26:19.500
is ripped to 144.
1660
01:26:20.800 --> 01:26:24.200
And within the campus site a number of what are
1661
01:26:23.200 --> 01:26:26.600
subject to the acquisition of Rights.
1662
01:26:27.400 --> 01:26:30.200
associated with works for and nine
1663
01:26:31.800 --> 01:26:34.500
Which in some really allow the laying and maintenance of
1664
01:26:34.500 --> 01:26:36.000
electrical papers?
01:26:36.700 --> 01:26:40.200
construction of lay down areas Highway works
1666
01:26:39.200 --> 01:26:41.500
and access rights
1667
01:26:43.200 --> 01:26:47.900
And in our written representation, we identified
1668
01:26:46.900 --> 01:26:49.600
a number
1669
01:26:49.600 --> 01:26:53.000
of what we saw as potential alternatives
1670
01:26:52.300 --> 01:26:54.800
to the cable alignment.
```

```
01:26:55.700 --> 01:26:57.700
and to the access strategy
1672
01:26:59.300 --> 01:27:02.200
So the first were alternatives to
1673
01:27:02.200 --> 01:27:05.600
the proposed cable route and they would deal within our
1674
01:27:05.600 --> 01:27:09.000
written that section 8.3.2.
1675
01:27:09.900 --> 01:27:11.500
And we noted that.
1676
01:27:12.400 --> 01:27:15.900
A number of options have been considered by the applicant in
1677
01:27:15.900 --> 01:27:18.300
chapter 4 their environmental statement.
01:27:19.600 --> 01:27:22.800
One which was known as option one would have
1679
01:27:22.800 --> 01:27:25.700
rooted the cable Corridor to the west and
1680
01:27:25.700 --> 01:27:28.000
south of the campus site.
1681
01:27:29.600 --> 01:27:32.900
Only encroaching on an area of undeveloped land within
1682
01:27:32.900 --> 01:27:34.300
age ownership.
1683
01:27:35.400 --> 01:27:38.200
And that will shown on figure 7 of our written
1684
01:27:38.200 --> 01:27:39.000
representation.
```

```
1685
01:27:40.600 --> 01:27:44.100
And then a variation of option one pushed the
1686
01:27:44.100 --> 01:27:48.500
cable Corridor slightly to the South those avoid
1687
01:27:47.500 --> 01:27:50.000
the campus site all together.
1688
01:27:51.600 --> 01:27:54.600
And that was shown on Figure 8 of our written representation.
1689
01:27:55.900 --> 01:27:59.600
And you can see the comparison
1690
01:27:58.600 --> 01:28:01.500
of the current proposed cable
1691
01:28:01.500 --> 01:28:05.200
route and option one as identified
01:28:04.200 --> 01:28:07.300
as the preferred option chapter 4
1693
01:28:07.300 --> 01:28:10.400
of the es in figure 10 of
1694
01:28:10.400 --> 01:28:11.200
our written representation.
1695
01:28:12.500 --> 01:28:15.900
Now so we've seen the responses
1696
01:28:15.900 --> 01:28:19.300
from the applicants in most
1697
01:28:18.300 --> 01:28:22.800
recently it rep3a035.
```

```
01:28:23.600 --> 01:28:26.400
That's their responses to the written representations.
1699
01:28:27.100 --> 01:28:30.900
In which they purport to identify certain constraints.
1700
01:28:31.500 --> 01:28:34.100
That justify the rejection of option one.
1701
01:28:34.900 --> 01:28:37.600
And a southerly variation one.
1702
01:28:38.800 --> 01:28:41.400
At this stage, I'll just put it on records that
1703
01:28:41.400 --> 01:28:44.700
we don't accept that. They provide an adequate justification
1704
01:28:44.700 --> 01:28:46.400
for rejecting those options.
01:28:47.400 --> 01:28:51.300
And we propose to respond further to their
1706
01:28:50.300 --> 01:28:53.300
comments at bloodline 5
1707
01:28:53.300 --> 01:28:56.600
on the 13th of January prior to the next composite
1708
01:28:56.600 --> 01:28:57.500
position hearing.
1709
01:28:59.100 --> 01:29:02.300
But at this stage, we simply note that in chapter
1710
01:29:02.300 --> 01:29:05.700
 4 of the es and that's AP zero three
1711
01:29:05.700 --> 01:29:06.100
 six.
```

```
1712
01:29:06.900 --> 01:29:10.100
There is that table for dash four
1713
01:29:09.100 --> 01:29:12.300
that Mr. Tony referred to a few
1714
01:29:12.300 --> 01:29:15.800
moments ago, which identifies various constraints
1715
01:29:15.800 --> 01:29:18.400
associated with the cable Corridor options.
1716
01:29:19.300 --> 01:29:23.400
Which don't appear to identify any showstoppers that
1717
01:29:22.400 --> 01:29:25.400
justified the rejection of option one?
1718
01:29:26.300 --> 01:29:29.300
Which would have avoided the need to
1719
01:29:29.300 --> 01:29:31.900
use our Access Road into the cannabis?
1720
01:29:33.200 --> 01:29:37.000
So that's the first group of alternatives to
1721
01:29:36.100 --> 01:29:41.200
the cable Corridor and the second Alternatives relate
1722
01:29:40.200 --> 01:29:42.800
to the access Arrangements.
1723
01:29:43.500 --> 01:29:46.400
Which are currently proposed to be taken off through the
1724
01:29:46.400 --> 01:29:47.200
campus site.
```

```
01:29:48.500 --> 01:29:51.100
And we discussed this in section
1726
01:29:51.100 --> 01:29:54.100
8.3 of our written rep.
1727
01:29:55.100 --> 01:29:58.300
Where we identify what we consider the two
1728
01:29:58.300 --> 01:30:00.800
alternative access Arrangements.
1729
01:30:01.700 --> 01:30:05.100
One is to take land take accessory
1730
01:30:04.100 --> 01:30:06.600
from land to the north of the campus.
1731
01:30:07.600 --> 01:30:11.500
via plots 16 12 16
1732
01:30:10.500 --> 01:30:13.800
0 9 and 16 0
1733
01:30:13.800 --> 01:30:14.800
8 4
1734
01:30:16.100 --> 01:30:19.400
all of which are already subject to the acquisition of Rights.
1735
01:30:20.100 --> 01:30:23.500
And we've shown that alternative arrangement in figures
1736
01:30:23.500 --> 01:30:26.000
11 and 12 of our written representation.
1737
01:30:27.700 --> 01:30:30.100
And the second option is to take
1738
01:30:30.100 --> 01:30:35.200
access from the roundabout of three also
```

```
1739
01:30:33.200 --> 01:30:36.400
to the north of the campus
1740
01:30:36.400 --> 01:30:36.600
life.
1741
01:30:37.600 --> 01:30:40.600
via plots 16 10 9
1742
01:30:40.600 --> 01:30:41.800
8 and 4
1743
01:30:43.300 --> 01:30:45.900
as shown in 11 bar written representation.
1744
01:30:47.800 --> 01:30:51.200
Now the applicant has belatedly proposed
1745
01:30:50.200 --> 01:30:53.900
an alternative route for hgv traffic
1746
01:30:53.900 --> 01:30:56.300
to avoid using the campus access.
1747
01:30:57.600 --> 01:31:00.800
Which is set out and were written
1748
01:31:00.800 --> 01:31:01.100
rep.
1749
01:31:02.200 --> 01:31:05.600
On page 285 and shown in
1750
01:31:05.600 --> 01:31:08.100
green the alternative access that they now propose for
1751
01:31:08.100 --> 01:31:09.100
hgvs.
```

```
01:31:09.900 --> 01:31:12.400
We're not clear firstly why
1753
01:31:12.400 --> 01:31:16.100
that option wasn't proposed as part of the original application
given
1754
01:31:15.100 --> 01:31:19.100
the obvious implications for using campus access
1755
01:31:18.100 --> 01:31:22.100
for construction traffic and Nora
1756
01:31:21.100 --> 01:31:24.400
we clear why all traffic can't use that
1757
01:31:24.400 --> 01:31:25.200
alternative access.
1758
01:31:26.100 --> 01:31:30.200
So as to avoid the campus all together, but those are the
1759
01:31:29.200 --> 01:31:32.300
subject of continuing discussions.
1760
01:31:33.200 --> 01:31:36.400
As Mr. Turney says we having not received
1761
01:31:36.400 --> 01:31:40.000
any protective Provisions from the applicant have drafted from
1762
01:31:39.400 --> 01:31:42.500
ourselves, which we shared with the equipment. I
1763
01:31:42.500 --> 01:31:45.300
think it was about a week ago now and so
1764
01:31:45.300 --> 01:31:48.300
we're waiting for their comments on those and we're
1765
01:31:48.300 --> 01:31:51.400
```

```
hopeful that protective provisions and
1766
01:31:51.400 --> 01:31:54.400
 separately legal agreement can be
1767
01:31:54.400 --> 01:31:58.500
concluded before the February hearings so
1768
01:31:57.500 --> 01:32:00.600
 that we don't need to attend
1769
01:32:00.600 --> 01:32:03.300
and we can withdraw our objection by that
1770
01:32:03.300 --> 01:32:04.100
stage. That's not
1771
01:32:05.800 --> 01:32:08.000
Thank you,
1772
01:32:08.400 --> 01:32:12.000
Mr. For that's that's very helpful before I
1773
01:32:11.500 --> 01:32:14.300
hear the applicant and response.
1774
01:32:15.400 --> 01:32:18.500
And I also have down to here Mr. Knobs and
1775
01:32:18.500 --> 01:32:21.400
Mr. Bauer and proposing we take
1776
01:32:21.400 --> 01:32:24.200
great. We said we'd taken because it's now shortly after
1777
01:32:24.200 --> 01:32:25.100
half past three.
1778
01:32:26.200 --> 01:32:29.900
I'm suggesting we come back here by five to
```

```
1779
01:32:29.900 --> 01:32:30.100
four.

1780
01:32:30.800 --> 01:32:33.500
So we're just adjourned for

1781
01:32:33.500 --> 01:32:35.700
20 minutes. Thank you very much, everyone.
```